ON INFINITE DISCRETE APPROXIMATE SUBGROUPS IN \mathbb{R}^d

ALEXANDER FISH

School of Mathematics and Statistics F07, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that any discrete, infinite approximate subgroup $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is relatively dense around some linear subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, i.e., there exists R > 0 such that for every ball $B_R(x)$ with center at $x \in L$ we have $\Lambda \cap B_R(x) \neq \emptyset$, and $\Lambda \subset \bigcup_{x \in L} B_R(x)$. As an application of our main theorem, we provide a complete classification of infinite approximate subgroups in \mathbb{Z}^d .

1. Introduction

In this paper we study approximate subgroups. Recall that for a group H^1 , a set $\Lambda \subset H$ is called an *approximate subgroup* if there exists a finite set $F \subset H$ such that $\Lambda - \Lambda \subset \Lambda + F$.

Any finite set in a group H is an approximate subgroup. An interesting question of classification of approximate subgroups arises if we control the cardinality of F, while the cardinality of Λ is finite but much larger than of the set of translates F, and in this case we say that Λ has a small doubling. The classification of finite sets having small doubling for the ambient group $H = \mathbb{Z}$ has been obtained by Freiman in his seminal work [2]. These results have been eventually extended to arbitrary abelian groups by Green and Ruzsa [3], and in the case of an arbitrary ambient group by Hrushovski [4], and by Breuillard, Green and Tao [1].

We will investigate here infinite discrete approximate subgroups in $H = \mathbb{R}^d$. Infinite discrete relatively dense approximate subgroups in \mathbb{R}^d , Meyer sets, have been studied extensively by Meyer [6], Lagarias [5], Moody [7] and many others. It has been proved by Meyer [6] that a discrete relatively dense approximate subgroup $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a subset of a model (cut and project) set [7]. Thus, despite a possible aperiodicity of Meyer sets, they all arise from lattices in (possibly) much higher dimensional spaces.

The paper addresses a natural question of what kind of structure has to possess an infinite discrete approximate subgroup Λ in \mathbb{R}^d which is not relatively dense in the whole space. The

E-mail address: alexander.fish@sydney.edu.au.

¹We will be using the additive notation since we are interested in the case where H is commutative.

conclusion that we derive here is that an infinite discrete approximate subgroup in \mathbb{R}^d is almost as rigid as a Meyer set. In particular, we show that any discrete infinite approximate subgroup in \mathbb{R}^d is at the bounded distance from a Meyer set "living" on a subspace of \mathbb{R}^d , see Theorem 2.3.

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to American Institute of Mathematics (AIM) and the organisers of the workshop on "Nonstandard methods in combinatorial number theory" at AIM, where this project has been initiated. We also thank Terrence Tao who suggested the statement of Theorem 2.2 in the case d=2. Finally, this paper has been influenced by Michael Björklund, and, particularly, by his series of lectures on quasi-crystals given at Sydney University in April 2016. We thank Michael for sharing his mathematical ideas with us.

2. Main Results

We will always assume that the underlying group H posses an H-invariant metric d_H , and for any r > 0 and $h \in H$ we will denote by $B_r(h) = \{g \in H \mid d_H(g,h) \leq r\}$ the ball of radius r around h. We will call a set $\Lambda \in H$ relatively dense if there exists R > 0 such that for every $h \in H$ we have $B_R(h) \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$. It is easy to see that if an approximate subgroup Λ is discrete then it is also uniformly discrete, i.e., there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $h \in H$ the ball of radius δ at h intersects Λ in at most one point.

In this paper we show that discrete approximate subgroups in \mathbb{R}^d are relatively dense around some subspace. Our main result is

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an infinite, discrete, approximate subgroup. Then there exists a linear subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and R > 0 such that:

- For every $y \in L$ the ball of radius R and center y, i.e., $B_R(y) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid ||x-y|| \leq R\}$, intersects non-trivially Λ .
- The R-neighbourhood of L in \mathbb{R}^d contains Λ , i.e.,

$$\Lambda \subset \bigcup_{y \in L} B_R(y).$$

As a corollary, we obtain a complete characterization of infinite approximate subgroups in \mathbb{Z}^d .

Theorem 2.2. Let Λ be a subset in \mathbb{Z}^d . The set Λ is an infinite approximate subgroup if and only if there exists a linear subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and R > 0 such that

- $\Lambda \subset \bigcup_{y \in L} B_R(y)$,
- For every $y \in L$ we have $\Lambda \cap B_R(y) \neq \emptyset$.

As another application of Theorem 2.1, we prove that any discrete approximate subgroup in \mathbb{R}^d is "very close" to be a Meyer set on a subspace of \mathbb{R}^d . More precisely, we prove the following result.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an infinite discrete approximate subgroup. Then there exist a subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and R > 0 such that:

- The projection Λ_L of Λ on the subspace L is a Meyer set in L, i.e., Λ_L is discrete relatively dense approximate subgroup in L,
- $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_L + B_R(0_{\mathbb{R}^d})$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an approximate subgroup. Then $\Lambda \cup \{0_{\mathbb{R}^d}\}$ is also an approximate subgroup. Therefore without loss of generality we assume that $0_{\mathbb{R}^d} \in \Lambda$. Denote by K = diam(F). The following two important properties will enable us to treat arbitrary approximate subgroup as being almost symmetric:

- (A) for every $\ell \in \Lambda$ there exists $\ell' \in B_K(-\ell) \cap \Lambda$,
- (B) for any $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \Lambda$ there exists $\ell' \in B_{2K}(\ell_1 + \ell_2) \cap \Lambda$.

We will call the property (A) the almost symmetry, and (B) the almost doubling. We start with an easy observation which proves Theorem 2.1 in the case d = 1.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an infinite discrete approximate subgroup. Then Λ is relatively dense.

Proof. Assume that $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an infinite approximate subgroup. Take $\ell \in \Lambda$ with $\ell > 3K$ (which exists by uniform discreteness of Λ). By the almost doubling property there exists $\ell_2 \in \Lambda$ with $\ell_2 \in [2\ell - 2K, 2\ell + 2K] \subset [\ell + K, 2\ell + 2K]$. Similarly, there exists $\ell_3 \in \Lambda \cap B_{2K}(\ell_2 + \ell)$. Therefore, $\ell_3 \in [\ell_2 + K, \ell_2 + \ell + 2K]$. Assume that we already constructed $\ell_1 = \ell, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n \in \Lambda$ satisfying that $\ell_m + K \leq \ell_{m+1} \leq \ell_m + \ell + 2K$ for $m = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Then there exists $\ell_{n+1} \in \Lambda \cap [\ell_n + \ell - 2K, \ell_n + \ell + 2K]$. Therefore, we constructed an increasing sequence in $\Lambda \cap \mathbb{R}_+$ with bounded gaps. By almost symmetry property of Λ , we also have in Λ the elements $\{-\ell', -\ell'_2, \ldots, -\ell'_n, \ldots\}$ with $\ell' \in B_K(-\ell)$. This finishes the proof of the Proposition.

A higher-dimensional case is much more subtle. An important role in the proof of Theorem 2.1 will play the set of asymptotic directions of the points in Λ .

Definition 3.2. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a uniformly discrete infinite set. We call

$$D(\Lambda) = \{ u \in S^{d-1} \mid \text{ there exists } (\ell_n) \in \Lambda \text{ with } \frac{\ell_n}{\|\ell_n\|} \to u \text{ and } \ell_n \to \infty \}$$

the set of asymptotic directions of Λ .

It is easy to see that $D(\Lambda)$ is non-empty closed set. It will be very convenient to us to introduce the subspace generated by $D(\Lambda)$. Let $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be the smallest linear subspace with the property that $D(\Lambda) \subset L$. In other words, we have

$$L = Span(D(\Lambda)).$$

The next lemma is an important ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that Λ is an infinite discrete approximate subgroup. Let $L = Span(D(\Lambda))$ be a proper subspace in \mathbb{R}^d . Then there exists R > 0 $(R = 3 \cdot diam(F))$ such that

$$\Lambda \subset \bigcup_{x \in L} B_R(x).$$

Proof. Let $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be an infinite discrete approximate subgroup, i.e., there exists a finite set $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\Lambda - \Lambda \subset \Lambda + F$. Denote by K = diam(F). For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $u \in S^{d-1}$ we define the cone

$$V_{\varepsilon}(u) = \{tv \mid t > 0, v \in S^{d-1} \text{ with } \langle v, u \rangle \ge 1 - \varepsilon\}.$$

Let us take R = 3K. We claim that

$$\Lambda \subset \bigcup_{x \in I} B_R(x).$$

Indeed, if there exists $\ell \in \Lambda$ such that $\ell \not\in \bigcup_{x \in L} B_R(x)$, let us define $u = \frac{\ell}{\|\ell\|}$ and $1 - \varepsilon = \frac{\sqrt{\|\ell\|^2 - 5K^2}}{\|\ell\|}$. Then we construct a sequence $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ldots$ in Λ with $\ell_n \to \infty$ and $\ell_n \in V_{\varepsilon}(u)$. Since, clearly, we have

$$V_{\varepsilon}(u) \cap L = \{0_{\mathbb{R}^d}\},\$$

this will imply the contradiction.

The construction is the same as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Let us define $\ell_1 = \ell$. We find $\ell_2 \in B_{2K}(\ell_1 + \ell) \cap \Lambda$. The following calculation guarantees that $\ell_2 \in V_{\varepsilon}(u)$:

$$\left\langle \frac{\ell_2}{\|\ell_2\|}, u \right\rangle \ge \frac{2\|\ell\|}{\sqrt{4\ell^2 + 4K^2}} = \frac{\|\ell\|}{\sqrt{\ell^2 + K^2}} \ge 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Also, it is clear that $\|\ell_2\| \geq \|\ell_1\| + K$. Assume that we constructed a finite sequence $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n \in \Lambda$ with $\|\ell_{m+1}\| \geq \|\ell_m\| + K$, $m = 1, \ldots, n-1$, and $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n \in V_{\varepsilon}(u)$. Then there exists $\ell_{n+1} \in B_{2K}(\ell_n + \ell) \cap \Lambda$. Clearly, we have

$$\|\ell_{n+1}\| \ge \|\ell_n\| + K.$$

Finally, for any vector $v \in V_{\varepsilon}(u)$ we have

$$B_{2K}(v+\ell) \subset V_{\varepsilon}(u)$$
.

This will guarantee that $\ell_{n+1} \in V_{\varepsilon}(u)$. Indeed, if a vector $v \in V_{\varepsilon}(u)$, then $v + V_{\varepsilon}(u) \subset V_{\varepsilon}(u)$, and therefore we have:

$$dist(v + \ell, \partial V_{\varepsilon}(u)) \ge dist(v + \ell, \partial(v + V_{\varepsilon}(u)))$$
$$= dist(\ell, \partial(V_{\varepsilon}(u))) = \|\ell\|(1 - \varepsilon) = \sqrt{\|\ell\|^2 - 5K^2} > 2K.$$

Our next step in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is to construct a system of "basis" vectors for Λ . Let $L = Span(D(\Lambda))$, and let R satisfy

$$\Lambda \subset \bigcup_{x \in L} B_R(x).$$

Assume that dimL = k, where $1 \le k \le d$, and denote by K = diam(F). By uniform discreteness of the approximate subgroup Λ , there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every M > 0 there exist k elements $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_k \in \Lambda$ satisfying the following properties:

• (ε -well spreadness) For all $1 \le i \le k$, any $v_i \in B_{2K}(\ell_i)$, and $v_j \in B_{2K}(\varepsilon_j \ell_j)$, $j \ne i$, $\varepsilon_j \in \{-1, 1\}$, let us denote by γ_i the angle between v_i and the subspace $V^i = Span\{v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_k\}$. Then we require:

$$\varepsilon < \gamma_i < \pi - \varepsilon$$
,

• (no short vectors) For every $1 \le i \le k$ we have

$$\|\ell_i\| \geq M.$$

By almost symmetry of Λ , we can also find the "reflected" vectors $\{\ell'_1, \ldots, \ell'_k\} \subset \Lambda$ which satisfy the property

$$\ell_i' \in B_K(-\ell_i), i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Let us denote by $\mathcal{F} = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k, \ell'_1, \dots, \ell'_k\}$. By Lemma 3.1 there exists R > 0 such that $\Lambda \subset L_R$, where $L_R = \bigcup_{x \in L} B_R(x)$ the R-thickening of the subspace L. Let us assume that $R \geq K$. Finally, for any choice of M > 0, let us call the corresponding system \mathcal{F} as (M, ε, L, R) -system in \mathbb{R}^d , and denote by $T(\mathcal{F}) = \max\{\|\ell_i\| \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$.

Our next claim is the following.

Proposition 3.4. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and R, K > 0. There exists M > 0 large enough such that for every (M, ε, L, R) -system $\mathcal{F} = \{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_k, \ell'_1, \ldots, \ell'_k\}$ in \mathbb{R}^d and for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \cap L_R$ with $\|x\|$ large enough there exists $\ell \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for every $v \in B_K(\ell)$ we have

$$||x - v|| \le ||x|| - \frac{M\varepsilon}{12}.$$

Proof. By continuity of the distance function, it is enough to prove the conclusion of the proposition in the case where

- \mathcal{F} is symmetric, i.e., if $\ell \in \mathcal{F}$ then $-\ell \in \mathcal{F}$,
- $\mathcal{F} \subset L$,
- $\bullet \ x \in L$,
- $v \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let us call the system \mathcal{F} an (M, ε) -system, since \mathcal{F} is already inside the subspace L. Our next step is to observe that for any vector $x \in S(L) = \{x \in L \mid ||x|| = 1\}$ there exists $v \in \mathcal{F}$ such that the angle between x and v, denoted by γ , satisfies:

$$0 < \gamma < \pi/2 - \varepsilon/2$$
.

Indeed, in the case of dim(L) = 1 the statement is obviously true. Assume that we know that the statement is true for the case dim(L) = k - 1. Let dim(L) = k, and let us define $W = Span\{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{k-1}\}$. Let us denote by α_i , $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$, the angles between x and $L_i = \{t\ell_i \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Assume that we have for all $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$:

$$\pi/2 - \varepsilon/2 < \alpha_i < \pi/2$$
.

Then we claim that for every vector $w \in W$, the angle α between x and w satisfies:

$$\pi/2 - \varepsilon/2 \le \alpha \le \pi/2$$
.

Indeed, denote by $\delta = \cos^{-1}(\pi/2 - \varepsilon/2)$. Then we have for every vector $w = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i \ell_i \in W$ that

$$\frac{|\langle x, w \rangle|}{\|w\|} = \frac{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i \langle x, \ell_i \rangle\right|}{\|w\|} \le \delta \frac{\|w\|_1}{\|w\|_2} \le \delta.$$

This shows that the angle between x and w satisfies the claim.

Let us denote by $U = Span\{x, \ell_k\}$. If dim U = 1, the claim that $0 \le \gamma < \pi/2 - \varepsilon/2$ is obvious. So, assume that dim U = 2. Since $W \cap U$ is one-dimensional, there exists $w \in W$ such that $U \cap W = Span\{w\}$. Denote by $L_w = Span\{w\}$. Then we are in the two-dimensional case, i.e., the vectors x, ℓ_k, w lie in the plane $U \cap W$. Let us denite by α the angle between the vector x and L_w . Then α satisfies

$$\pi/2 - \varepsilon/2 \le \alpha \le \pi/2$$
.

Denote by β the angle between ℓ_k and L_w . Then β satisfies by ε -spreadness of \mathcal{F} :

$$\varepsilon < \beta < \pi/2$$
.

Altogether, this implies that the angle γ between x and the line spanned by ℓ_k satisfies:

$$0 \leq \gamma < \pi/2 - \varepsilon/2.$$

Next, let us consider a triangle with the vertices at the origin, x and at $v \in \mathcal{F}$ with the angle between x and v, denoted by γ , satisfying:

$$\gamma < \pi/2 - \varepsilon/2$$
.

Denote by D = ||v||. We have that $D \leq T(\mathcal{F})$. Also, denote by $\varepsilon' = \cos(\gamma)$. We have that $\varepsilon' \geq \varepsilon/4$. The cosine rule implies

$$||x - v||^2 = ||x||^2 + D^2 - 2xD\cos(\gamma).$$

Notice that if $||x|| \ge T(\mathcal{F}) \ge ||v||$ and assume that $||x|| \ge \frac{4T(\mathcal{F})}{\varepsilon}$ we have:

$$||x|| - ||x - v|| = \frac{D(2||x||\cos(\gamma) - D)}{||x|| + ||x - v||} \ge \frac{D(||x||\varepsilon/2 - D)}{3||x||} \ge \frac{D||x||\varepsilon/4}{3||x||} = \frac{D\varepsilon}{12} \ge \frac{M\varepsilon}{12}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Assume that $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an infinite discrete approximate subgroup satisfying $\Lambda - \Lambda \subset \Lambda + F$ for a finite set F. Denote by K = diam(F) and by $L = Span(D(\Lambda))$. Then by Lemma 3.3 there exists R > 0 such that $\Lambda \subset L_R = \bigcup_{x \in L} B_R(x)$. By the discussion above, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for an arbitrary M > 0 there exists (M, ε, L, R) -system \mathcal{F} within Λ . Let us take M > 0 so large that the claim of Proposition 3.4 holds true. Let R' be such that for every $x \in L_R$ with $\|x\| \geq R'$ there exists $\ell \in \mathcal{F}$ with the property that for every $v \in B_K(\ell)$ we have:

$$||x - v|| \le ||x|| - \frac{M\varepsilon}{12}.$$

We will show that for every $z \in L_R$ we will have $B_{R'}(z) \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$. Assume, on the contrary, that there exists $z \in L_R$ such that $B_{R'}(z) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ Take minimal $R_2 > R'$ such that $B_{R_2}(z) \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$. This means that for every $r < R_2$ we have $B_r(z) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$, and that there exists $y \in B_{R_2}(z) \cap \Lambda$.

Let us denote by x = z - y. Then $||x|| = R_2$, and therefore there exists $\ell \in \mathcal{F} \subset \Lambda$ such that for every $v \in B_K(\ell)$ we have

$$||x - v|| \le ||x|| - \frac{M\varepsilon}{12} < ||x|| = R_2.$$

But, since Λ is an approximate subgroup with diam(F) = K, we have that there exists $v \in B_K(\ell)$ such that $y + v \in \Lambda$. This implies:

$$||z - (y + v)|| < R_2.$$

Therefore, there exists $r < R_2$ such that $B_r(z) \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$. So, we get a contradiction. Therefore, indeed, for every $x \in L_R$ we have $B_{R'}(x) \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.2

It follows immediately from Theorem 2.1 that if $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ is an infinite approximate group, then there exists a subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and R > 0 such that $\Lambda \subset L + B_R(0_{\mathbb{R}^d})$, and for every $\ell \in L$ we have that $\Lambda \cap B_R(\ell) \neq \emptyset$. Let us call any Λ that satisfies these constraints with respect to a subspace L as being relatively dense around L.

On the other hand, assume that $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ is relatively dense around a subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. We will show that such Λ is necessarily an approximate subgroup.

Indeed, let us first take $R_1 > 0$ with the property² that for any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have $B_{R_1}(x) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \neq \emptyset$. Since, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exists $\ell \in L$ such that $\lambda \in B_R(\ell)$, we have that

²We can take any $R_1 > \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}$.

for any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ there exist $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap L + B_{R_1}(0)$ such that

$$\lambda_i \in B_{R+R_1}(x_i)$$
, for $i = 1, 2$.

Therefore, there exist $f_1, f_2 \in B_{R+R_1}(0) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that

$$\lambda_i = x_i + f_i$$
, for $1 = 1, 2$.

Also, notice that $x_1 - x_2 \in L + B_{2R}(0)$. Therefore, there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $x_1 - x_2 \in B_{3R}(\lambda)$. Thus, there exists $f' \in B_{3R}(0) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $x_1 - x_2 = \lambda + f'$. Finally, let us denote by $F = B_{5R+2R_1}(0) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ (finite set). Then we have

$$\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = (x_1 + f_1) - (x_2 + f_2) = (x_1 - x_2) + (f_1 - f_2) = \lambda + (f_1 - f_2 + f') \in \Lambda + F.$$

This finishes the proof of the Theorem.

5. Proof of Theorem 2.3

Let Λ be a discrete approximate subgroup in \mathbb{R}^d . By Theorem 2.1 we know that there exist a subspace L and R > 0 such that Λ is relatively dense around L, i.e., $\Lambda \subset L + B_R(0_{\mathbb{R}^d})$ and for any $x \in L$ we have $B_R(x) \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$. Let us denote by π the orthogonal projection from \mathbb{R}^d to L. And let $\Lambda_L = \pi(\Lambda)$.

By linearity of the map π we get that Λ_L is an approximate subgroup. For $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \Lambda_L$ there exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ such that $\ell_i = \pi(\lambda_i), i = 1, 2$. Denote by L^{\perp} the orthogonal complement to L, i.e., we have $\mathbb{R}^d = L \oplus L^{\perp}$. Then there exist $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in L^{\perp}$ such that

$$\lambda_i = \ell_i + \mu_i$$
, for $i = 1, 2$.

But Λ is an approximate subgroup. Therefore, there exists a finite set $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\Lambda - \Lambda \subset \Lambda + F$. This implies that there exist $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and $f \in F$ such that

$$\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = \lambda + f$$
.

By projecting both sides on L we obtain:

$$\ell_1 - \ell_2 = \pi(\lambda) + \pi(f).$$

Let us denote $F' = \pi(F)$ (a finite set). Then we have

$$\Lambda_L - \Lambda_L \subset \Lambda_L + F'$$
.

We also have that Λ_L is relatively dense in L since $\Lambda \subset L + B_R(0_{\mathbb{R}^d})$.

The set Λ_L is discrete. Indeed, assume that it is not discrete. Then there exists $(\ell_n) \subset \Lambda_L$ with $\ell_n \to x \in L$ and $\ell_n \neq x$ for every n. Let $(\mu_n) \subset L^{\perp}$ such that $\lambda_n = \ell_n + \mu_n \in \Lambda$.

Since all μ_n are bounded, then there is a convergent subsequence (μ_{n_k}) . Denote its limit by $\mu \in L^{\perp}$. Then we have

$$\lambda_{n_k} = \ell_{n_k} + \mu_{n_k} \to x + \mu.$$

Since Λ is discrete, this implies that the sequence λ_{n_k} is fixed for k large enough. This implies that the subsequence ℓ_{n_k} is fixed for k large enough and we get a contradiction.

All this together, shows that the set $\Lambda_L \subset L$ is a Meyer set.

Finally, by the construction we have $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_L + B_R(0_{\mathbb{R}^d})$.

References

- [1] E. Breuillard, B. Green, and T. Tao, *The structure of approximate groups*. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 116 (2012), 115-221.
- [2] G. A. Freiman, Foundations of a Structural Theory of Set Addition, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1973. Translated from the Russian, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 37.
- [3] B. Green and I. Z. Ruzsa, Freiman's theorem in an arbitrary abelian group, J. Lond. Math. Soc., 75 (2007), 163-175.
- [4] E. Hrushovski, Stable group theory and approximate subgroups. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 25 (2012), no. 1, 189-243.
- [5] J.C. Lagarias, Meyer's concept of quasicrystal and quasiregular sets. Comm. Math. Phys. 179 (1996), no. 2, 365-376.
- [6] Y. Meyer, Nombres de Pisot, Nombres de Salem, et analyse harmonique, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 117, Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1970.
- [7] R.V. Moody, Model sets A Survey, https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0002020v1.