Patterns in sets of positive density in trees and affine buildings

M. Björklund, A. Fish, J. Parkinson

May 31, 2021

Abstract

We prove an analogue for homogeneous trees and certain affine buildings of a result of Bourgain on pinned distances in sets of positive density in Euclidean spaces. Furthermore, we construct an example of a non-homogeneous tree with positive Hausdorff dimension, and a subset with positive density thereof, in which not all sufficiently large (even) distances are realised.

Introduction

A celebrated result in geometric Ramsey theory due to Furstenberg, Katznelson and Weiss [9] states that if X is a Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^2 with positive upper density then the set $D(X) = \{ ||x - y|| \mid x, y \in X \}$ of distances between elements of X contains all sufficiently large real numbers. In [6] Bourgain proved a remarkable generalisation of the Furstenberg-Katznelson-Weiss theorem, showing that if the measurable set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ has positive upper density, and if Σ is the vertex set of an (n-1)-simplex in \mathbb{R}^n , then X contains an isometric copy of each sufficiently large dilation of Σ .

Discrete analogues of both the Furstenberg-Katznelson-Weiss and Bourgain theorems have recently been obtained by Magyar [10, 11]. In [10] it is shown that if X is a subset of positive upper density in \mathbb{Z}^n with n > 4, then the set $D^2(X) = \{||x - y||^2 \mid x, y \in X\}$ contains all large multiples of a fixed integer m, and in [11] an analogue of Bourgain's Theorem is proved. Further configurations in sets of positive density in \mathbb{Z}^n have recently been studied in the papers [3, 4].

In this paper we investigate the extent to which certain configurations must necessarily be present in subsets of positive upper density in homogeneous trees and affine buildings. In particular we prove analogues of the Furstenberg-Katznelson-Weiss and Bourgain theorems in this context.

Let us first discuss the case of trees. Let T_q be the homogeneous tree of degree $q + 1 \ge 3$ with vertex set V, and let $o \in V$ be a fixed choice of root. For $x \in V$ and $n \ge 0$ let $S_n(x) = \{y \in V \mid d(x, y) = n\}$, where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the graph metric. The *(upper)* density of a subset $X \subseteq V$, with respect to o, is

$$d^*(X) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|X \cap S_n(o)|}{|S_n(o)|}.$$

Let $D(X) = \{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in X\}$ be the set of distances between elements of X. We prove the following analogue of the Furstenberg-Katznelson-Weiss theorem.

Keywords: Density, Ramsey theory on trees and buildings

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 05D10, 05C12, 05C42

Proposition 1. Let $X \subseteq V$ with $d^*(X) > 0$. There exists a constant K = K(X) > 0 such that for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $t \ge K$ there exist vertices $x, y \in X$ with d(x, y) = 2t and d(o, x) = d(o, y).

Thus, in particular, if $d^*(X) > 0$ then D(X) contains all sufficiently large even integers. Note that since T_q is bipartite it is obviously possible for $d^*(X) > 0$ and $D(X) \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$, and so the condition of even distances in the proposition cannot be removed. Note also that we obtain the "bonus" that x and y are equidistant to o in Proposition 1. This fact turns out to help with finding more elaborate configurations in sets of positive density in T_q (see Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.6).

The first main theorem of this paper is the following extension of Proposition 1, giving a kind of analogue of Bourgain's Theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $X \subseteq V$ with $d^*(X) > 0$. For each k > 0 there exists K = K(X, k) > 0 such that whenever $t_1, \ldots, t_k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $t_k \ge \cdots \ge t_2 \ge t_1 \ge K$ there exists a subset $\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \subseteq X$ with $d(v_0, v_j) = 2t_j$ for all $1 \le j \le k$ and $d(o, v_0) = d(o, v_1) = \cdots = d(o, v_k)$.

Our second main theorem is an extension of Theorem 2 to sets of positive density in certain affine buildings (see Theorem 3.3 for a precise statement). These combinatorial/geometric objects play a role for Lie groups over non-archimedean local fields analogous to the role that the symmetric space plays for a real Lie group. Homogeneous trees T_q are the simplest types of affine buildings, being associated to the rank 1 group $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$ with \mathbb{F} a local field with residue field \mathbb{F}_q (with q a prime power).

Our proof techniques, for both the case of trees and buildings, are purely combinatorial, differing significantly from the ergodic theory techniques of [9] and the harmonic analysis techniques of [6, 10, 11]. This is not a matter of taste, but rather due to the very different types of symmetries of the patterns sought after in the two settings. More specifically, the type of patterns investigated in [6, 9, 10, 11] are invariant under the action of the isometry group of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , which is an *amenable* group. The arguments in [9] make direct use of this fact, while the arguments [6, 10, 11] use it in an indirect way, to ultimately reduce the proofs to establishing vanishing of Bessel functions at infinity, which is classical.

On the other hand, the patterns investigated in this paper are invariant under the isometry group of T_q (or a higher rank affine building), which is typically not an amenable group. In particular, the approach of [9] is not applicable here, since it is not clear why the dynamical system (as described in [9]) attached to the set of positive density in the tree would admit a probability measure invariant under the action of the isometry group of T_q . If it did, then similar arguments to those in [9] could still be made, ultimately reducing the problem to establishing vanishing at infinity of the (positive definite) spherical functions of the tree, which is classical. However, this is a rather degenerate situation, and it is not hard to construct examples of sets with positive density in T_q whose associated dynamical systems for the isometry group of T_q do not admit invariant probability measures. Fortunately, our combinatorial approach bypasses this problem entirely.

We conclude this introduction with an outline of the structure of the paper. In Section 1 we prove Proposition 1 and Theorem 2. In Section 2 we address a related question asked to us by Itai Benjamini. In particular, we show that if $T \subseteq T_q$ is tree of positive Hausdorff dimension, and if $X \subseteq T$ is a subset of positive lower density (hence also positive upper density) then the analogue of Proposition 1 may fail. In Section 3 we prove our extension of Theorem 2 for certain affine buildings (see Theorem 3.3), and we also translate our results to give a corollary on sets of positive density in simple algebraic groups defined over non-archimedean local fields (see Corollary 3.13). We note that Theorem 3.3 (on affine buildings) covers Theorem 2 as the rank 1 case, and Theorem 2 in turn covers Proposition 1 as a special case. Nonetheless we will provide complete proofs of both Proposition 1 and Theorem 2 in this paper. We believe that this redundancy is well justified, as the tree case, and in particular Proposition 1, more clearly illustrates the key combinatorial ideas driving the proof of Theorem 3.3, yet avoids the technical complications encountered in the general case. Moreover, our decision to give a complete exposition of the tree case first makes our results more accessible to readers unacquainted with the theory of affine buildings.

1 Sets of positive density in homogeneous trees

Let T_q be the homogeneous tree with vertex set V and degree $q + 1 \ge 3$, and let $o \in V$ be a fixed choice of root. For $x \in V$ and $n \ge 0$ let $S_n(x) = \{y \in V \mid d(x, y) = n\}$, where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the graph metric. We write $S_n = S_n(o)$. The *(upper) density* of a subset $X \subseteq V$, with respect to $x \in V$, is

$$d^*(X, x) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|X \cap S_n(x)|}{|S_n(x)|}.$$

Let $d^*(X) = d^*(X, o)$. A subset X has positive density if $d^*(X) > 0$. While the numerical value of density depends on the choice of root, the property of positive density is independent of this choice, as shown by the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. If $d^*(X, x) > 0$ for some $x \in V$ then $d^*(X, x) > 0$ for all $x \in V$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $d^*(X, y) > 0$ whenever d(x, y) = 1. For $n \ge 1$ we have $S_n(x) \subseteq S_{n-1}(y) \cup S_{n+1}(y)$ and hence

$$\frac{|X \cap S_n(x)|}{|S_n(x)|} \le \frac{|X \cap S_{n-1}(y)|}{|S_n(y)|} + \frac{|X \cap S_{n+1}(y)|}{|S_n(y)|} \le (q+q^{-1}) \left(\frac{|X \cap S_{n-1}(y)|}{|S_{n-1}(y)|} + \frac{|X \cap S_{n+1}(y)|}{|S_{n+1}(y)|}\right)$$

Thus $d^*(X, x) \leq 2(q+q^{-1})d^*(X, y)$. Thus for arbitrary $y \in V$ we have $d^*(X, y) \geq C^{-d(x,y)}d^*(X, x)$, where $C = 2(q+q^{-1})$, and hence the result.

Remark 1.2. There exist subsets $X \subseteq V$ of positive density with $\inf\{d^*(X, x) \mid x \in V\} = 0$ and $\sup\{d^*(X, x) \mid x \in V\} = 1$. For example, if X consists of one entire "branch" based at o then $d^*(X) = (q+1)^{-1} > 0$, and choosing sequences $(x_n)_{\geq 0}$ and $(y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of vertices with $x_n \to \infty$, $y_n \to \infty$, $x_n \in X$, and $y_n \notin X$, we have $d^*(X, x_n) \to 1$ and $d^*(X, y_n) \to 0$. This example illustrates that the constant K appearing in Theorem 2 must depend on the set X, rather than depending only on $d^*(X)$.

1.1 **Proof of Proposition 1**

In this section we give a proof of Proposition 1, illustrating the proof techniques required for Theorem 2 in a simplified setting. If $v \in V$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we write C(v, k) for the "k-children" (or k-descendants) of v. That is,

$$C(v,k) = \{x \in V \mid d(v,x) = k \text{ and } d(o,x) = d(o,v) + k\}.$$

Let C(v) denote the set of all decendants of v. That is,

$$C(v) = \bigcup_{k \ge 0} C(v,k).$$

For each $n \ge 0$ and $0 \le t \le n$ the members of $\mathcal{A}_{n,t} = \{C(v,t) \mid v \in S_{n-t}\}$ partition S_n . Let $\mathcal{F}_{n,t}$ denote the σ -algebra generated $\mathcal{A}_{n,t}$. We call the members of $\mathcal{A}_{n,t}$ the *atoms* of $\mathcal{F}_{n,t}$.

Proof of Proposition 1. The argument proceeds as follows.

Claim 1: Suppose that $t_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that there exist no vertices $x, y \in X$ with d(o, x) = d(o, y)and $d(x, y) = 2t_1$. Then for each $v \in S_{n-t}$ (with $n > t \ge t_1$) the proportion of \mathcal{F}_{n,t_1-1} atoms contained in C(v,t) with the property that they intersect nontrivially with X is at most q^{-1} . *Proof of Claim 1:* Let $v \in S_{n-t}$. We decompose C(v,t) as $C(v,t) = \bigsqcup_{w \in C(v) \cap S_{n-t_1}} C(w,t_1)$. Let $w \in C(v) \cap S_{n-t_1}$. If there exist distinct $u_1, u_2 \in C(w, 1)$ such that $C(u_j, t_1 - 1) \cap X \neq \emptyset$ for j = 1, 2, then choosing $z_j \in C(u_j, t_1 - 1) \cap X$ (for j = 1, 2) we have $d(o, z_1) = n = d(o, z_2)$, and $d(z_1, z_2) = 2t_1$, a contradiction (see Figure 1, where the \mathcal{F}_{n,t_1-1} atoms contained in C(v, n)are drawn as ellipses, and nontrivial intersection of an atom with X is denoted by shading). Thus for each $w \in C(v) \cap S_{n-t_1}$ there exists at most 1 child $u \in C(w, 1)$ with the property that $C(u, t_1 - 1)$ intersects nontrivially with X (see the elements w' and u' in Figure 1). Hence the claim.



Figure 1: Illustration for Claim 1

Claim 2: Suppose there are integers $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k$ such that for each $1 \le j \le k$ there exist no vertices $x, y \in X$ with d(o, x) = d(o, y) and $d(x, y) = 2t_j$. Then for all $n > t_k$ we have

$$\frac{|X \cap S_n|}{|S_n|} < q^{-k}.$$

Proof of Claim 2: Let $v_k \in S_{n-t_k}$. By Claim 1 (with $v = v_k$), the proportion of atoms of \mathcal{F}_{n,t_k-1} contained in $C(v_k, t_k)$ with the property that they intersect nontrivially with X is at most q^{-1} . However $C(v_k, t_k)$ contains precisely q atoms of \mathcal{F}_{n,t_k-1} , and hence there is at most one \mathcal{F}_{n,t_k-1} atom contained in $C(v_k, t_k)$ with the property that it intersects nontrivially with X. Suppose that A_k is such an atom, illustrated as a dashed ellipse in Figure 2 (for the case k = 2).



Figure 2: Illustration for Claim 2 (with k = 2)

Let v'_k be such that $A_k = C(v') \cap S_n$, as illustrated. Then, again by Claim 1 (this time with $v = v'_k$), the proportion of the $\mathcal{F}_{n,t_{k-1}-1}$ atoms contained in A_k with the property that they intersect nontrivially with X is at most q^{-1} (these atoms are displayed as shaded ellipses in Figure 2). Thus, overall, the proportion of the $\mathcal{F}_{n,t_{k-1}-1}$ atoms contained in $C(v_k, t_k)$ with the property that they intersect nontrivially with X is at most q^{-2} . Iterating this process proves the claim.

The proposition now follows. For if there is an unbounded sequence $t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < \cdots$ such that for each $j \ge 1$ there are no vertices $x, y \in X$ with d(o, x) = d(o, y) such that $d(x, y) = 2t_j$ then for each k > 0 and each $n > t_k$ we have $|X \cap S_n|/|S_n| < q^{-k}$. It follows that $d^*(X) = 0$, contradicting positive density.

1.2 Proof of Theorem 2

The arguments in the previous section are the core to the proof of Theorem 2, however the details become more technical in the general case. We introduce the following notion for the proof. For each k > 0 let \mathbb{M}^k denote the set of strictly monotone increasing sequences of k positive integers. Let k > 0. Let $\mathbf{t} = (t_i)_{i=1}^k \in \mathbb{M}^k$ and let $\mathbf{r} = (r_i)_{i=1}^k \in \mathbb{N}^k$. Then by a $(k, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{r})$ -star we mean a set Y of vertices of T_q with a distinguished vertex $v_0 \in Y$ (called the *centre* of Y) such that

(1) if $v \in Y \setminus \{v_0\}$ then $d(v_0, v) \in \{2t_1, \dots, 2t_k\},\$

(2) for each $1 \le i \le k$ we have $|\{v \in Y \mid d(v_0, v) = 2t_i\}| = r_i$,

(3) for all $x, y \in Y$ we have d(o, x) = d(o, y) (that is, $Y \subseteq S_n$ for some n > 0).

In particular, note that a (k, t, r)-star has precisely $1 + r_1 + \cdots + r_k$ vertices.

If Y a (k, t, r)-star, then writing $Y_i = \{x \in Y \mid d(v_0, x) = 2t_i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $Y_0 = \{v_0\}$, we have $Y = Y_0 \cup Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_k$ and $d(x, y) = 2t_j$ for all $x \in Y_i$ and $y \in Y_j$ whenever $0 \le i < j \le k$. This configuration is illustrated below.



Figure 3: A (k, t, r)-star.

Theorem 2 follows immediately from the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Let $X \subseteq V$ with $d^*(X) > 0$. For each k > 0 and each $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ there exists a constant $K = K(X, k, \mathbf{r}) > 0$ such that X contains a $(k, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{r})$ -star for all $\mathbf{t} = (t_i)_{i=1}^k \in \mathbb{M}^k$ with $t_1 > K$.

To prove Theorem 1.3 we argue as in Proposition 1, using the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.4. Let $X \subseteq V$, k > 0, $r \in \mathbb{N}^k$, and $t \in \mathbb{M}^k$. Let $r = \max\{r_i \mid 1 \le i \le k\}$. If X contains no (k, t, r)-star then for each $v \in S_{n-t}$ with $n \ge t \ge t_k$ the proportion of the atoms of \mathcal{F}_{n,t_1-1} contained in C(v,t) with the property that they intersect X in at least r vertices is at most q^{-1} .

Proof. We introduce the following terminology for the proof. A vertex $x \in S_{n-s}$ (with $0 \le s \le n$) is said to have "type A" if there are at least two children $y_1, y_2 \in C(x, 1)$ with the property that $X \cap C(y_j, s-1)$ contains at least r elements for j = 1, 2, and is said to have "type B" otherwise. Figure 4 illustrates a type A vertex x (with $r \le 4$, and where elements of X are denoted by \bullet).



Figure 4: The vertex x has type A.

We make the following observation. Let 0 < s' < s < n. If $x \in S_{n-s}$ then

$$C(x,s) = \bigsqcup_{y \in C(x,1)} C(y,s-1),$$
(1.1)

and for each $y \in C(x, 1)$ the set C(y, s - 1) is a union of $q^{s-s'-1}$ atoms of $\mathcal{F}_{n,s'}$. In particular, each set C(y, s - 1), $y \in C(x, 1)$, contains the same number of $\mathcal{F}_{n,s'}$ atoms, and so if $x \in S_{n-s}$

has type B then the proportion of the $\mathcal{F}_{n,s'}$ atoms of C(x,s) containing at least r elements of X is at most q^{-1} .

Returning to the argument, let $v \in S_{n-t}$. Let \mathcal{X} be the set of all sequences $(x_k, x_{k-1}, \ldots, x_1)$ such that $x_k \in S_{n-t_k} \cap C(v)$ and $x_{k-j} \in C(x_{k-j+1}) \cap S_{n-t_{k-j}}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$. Suppose that there exists a sequence $(x_k, x_{k-1}, \ldots, x_1) \in \mathcal{X}$ such that each x_j has type A. So there exist vertices $y_1, y'_1 \in C(x_1, 1)$ with $y_1 \neq y'_1$ such that $|X \cap C(y_1, t_1 - 1)| \geq r$ and $|X \cap C(y'_1, t_1 - 1)| \geq r$. Let $v_0 \in C'(y_1, t_1 - 1) \cap X$ and v_1^1, \ldots, v_1^r be distinct elements of $C(y'_1, t_1 - 1) \cap X$. For each $j = 2, \ldots, k$ let $y_j \in C(x_j, 1)$ denote the unique child of x_j on the geodesic segment joining x_k to x_1 . Since x_j has type A there is a second vertex $y'_j \neq y_j$ in $C(x_j, 1)$ such that $|X \cap C(y'_j, t_j - 1)| \geq r$. Let v_j^1, \ldots, v_j^r be distinct elements of $X \cap C(y'_j, t_j - 1)$. Then $d(v_0, v_i^j) = 2t_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$, and so $\{v_0\} \cup \{v_i^j \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ forms a (k, t, r1)-star, where $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ is the vector with every entry equal to 1. In particular X contains a (k, t, r)-star, a contradiction.

Thus every $(x_k, x_{k-1}, \ldots, x_1) \in \mathcal{X}$ contains at least one vertex of type B. Note that if x_j has type B then the proportion of \mathcal{F}_{n,t_1-1} atoms of $C(x_j, t_j)$ intersecting X in at least r vertices is at most q^{-1} . Thus by a depth-first scan through the natural forest structure on \mathcal{X} (with root nodes $x_k \in S_{n-t_k} \cap C(v)$) we can partition the set of \mathcal{F}_{n,t_1-1} atoms in C(v,t) in such a way that in each part of the partition the proportion of atoms with the property that they intersect X in at least r vertices is at most q^{-1} . Thus the proportion of all \mathcal{F}_{n,t_1-1} atoms of C(v,t) with this property is at most q^{-1} , and hence the result.

Lemma 1.5. Let $X \subseteq V$, k > 0, and $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^k$. For $1 \le j \le \ell$ let $\mathbf{t}_j = (t_{i,j})_{i=1}^k \in \mathbb{M}^k$, and suppose that $t_{k,j} < t_{1,j+1}$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, \ell - 1$. If X contains no $(k, \mathbf{t}_j, \mathbf{r})$ -stars for each $1 \le j \le \ell$ then for all $n > t_{k,\ell}$ we have

$$\frac{|X \cap S_n|}{|S_n|} < (q^{-\ell} + rq^{1-t_{1,1}}),$$

where $r = \max\{r_i \mid 1 \le i \le k\}$.

Proof. Lemma 1.4 (applied to the case v = o) implies that the proportion of $\mathcal{F}_{n,t_{1,\ell}-1}$ atoms intersecting X in at least r vertices is at most q^{-1} . Let X_{ℓ} be such an atom, and let x_{ℓ} be the projection of this atom onto $S_{n-t_{1,\ell}+1}$ (that is, $X_{\ell} = S_n \cap C(x_{\ell})$). Lemma 1.4, this time applied to the case $v = x_{\ell}$, implies that the proportion of the $\mathcal{F}_{n,t_{1,\ell-1}-1}$ atoms contained in X_{ℓ} with the property that they intersect X in at least r vertices is at most q^{-1} . Hence the proportion of all $\mathcal{F}_{n,t_{1,\ell-1}-1}$ atoms with the property that they intersect X in at least r vertices is at most q^{-2} . Iterating this process shows that the proportion of all $\mathcal{F}_{n,t_{1,1}-1}$ atoms with the property that they intersect X in at least r vertices is at most $q^{-\ell}$. Each atom in the remaining $(1 - q^{-\ell})$ proportion of atoms contains at most r - 1 elements of X. Since the total number of $\mathcal{F}_{n,t_{1,1}-1}$ atoms is $(q+1)q^{n-t_{1,1}} = q^{1-t_{1,1}}|S_n|$ we have

$$|X \cap S_n| < q^{-\ell} |S_n| + (1 - q^{-\ell}) q^{1 - t_{1,1}} r |S_n|$$

hence the result.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose not. Then there exists an integer k > 0 and a vector $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ such that for all integers K > 0 there is $\mathbf{s} = (s_i(K))_{i=1}^k \in \mathbb{M}^k$ with $s_1(K) > K$ such that X contains no $(k, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r})$ -star. Let $\ell > 0$ be any integer. Recursively define integers $t_{i,j}$, for $1 \le i \le k$ and $1 \le j \le \ell$, by setting $t_{i,1} = s_i(\ell)$ for all $1 \le i \le k$ and

$$t_{i,j} = s_i(t_{i,j-1})$$
 for $1 \le i \le k$ and $2 \le j \le \ell$.

Let $t_j = (t_{i,j})_{i=1}^k$. These sequences satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 1.5, and since $t_{1,1} = s_1(\ell) > \ell$ we have

$$\frac{|X \cap S_n|}{|S_n|} < q^{-\ell}(1+rq)$$

for all sufficiently large n, where $r = \max\{r_i \mid 1 \le i \le k\}$. Thus $d^*(X) \le q^{-\ell}(1+rq)$ for each $\ell > 0$, contradicting positive density.

1.3 More elaborate configurations

Theorem 1.3 implies that we can find any star configuration in a dense subset of a tree, provided that all of the distances to the centre of the star are large enough. It is natural to try to go further and add edges to the star configuration (hence making a tree T), and asking if we can again find such configurations in a dense subset of T_q . Indeed we can, provided we are just interested in the distances between adjacent vertices of this tree T. For a precise statement, we need the following definitions.

Let T be a finite rooted tree with vertex set $V(T) = \{0, 1, ..., N\}$, with 0 being the root. Write E(T) for the set of undirected edges of T. Let wt : $E(T) \to \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be a weight function. We consider the weight function as a function on $V(T)\setminus\{0\}$ by setting wt $(j) = wt(\{i, j\})$ where $i \in V(T)$ is the unique vertex of T with $d_T(i, j) = 1$ and $d_T(0, i) = d_T(0, j) - 1$ (that is, i is the penultimate vertex on the geodesic from 0 to j). We say that the weight function wt : $E(T) \to \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is well ordered if wt(j) < wt(k) whenever $d_T(0, j) < d_T(0, k)$, and we say that the weight function is bounded below by K if min $\{wt(j) \mid j \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}\} \ge K$

Corollary 1.6. Let X be a set of positive density in T_q , and let T be a finite rooted tree with vertex set $V(T) = \{0, 1, ..., N\}$ and root 0. There exists K = K(T, X) such that for each choice of well ordered weight function $\operatorname{wt} : E(T) \to \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ on T bounded below by K there exists a subset $\{v_0, \ldots, v_N\} \subseteq X$ such that $d(v_i, v_j) = 2 \operatorname{wt}(j)$ whenever $d_T(0, i) < d_T(0, j)$, and moreover $d(o, v_0) = d(o, v_1) = \cdots = d(o, v_N)$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{t} = (t_i)_{i=1}^k$ the vector of all distinct edge weights of T, arranged in increasing order. Let $\mathbf{r} = (r_i)_{i=1}^k$ denote the vector of multiplicities of the edge weights (that is, the edge weight t_i appears r_i times in T). By Theorem 1.3 there exists K = K(T, X) such that whenever wt : $E(T) \to \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is bounded below by K there exists a (k, t, r)-star Y contained in X. Let $v_0 \in Y$ be the centre of this star, and let $Y_i = \{x \in Y \mid d(v_0, x) = 2t_i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, and $Y_0 = \{v_0\}$. We have $Y = Y_0 \cup Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_k$ and $d(x, y) = 2t_j$ for all $x \in Y_i$ and $y \in Y_j$ whenever $0 \le i < j \le k$ (see Figure 3). Thus the subset Y satisfies the statement of the corollary.

Example 1.7. The following example illustrates Corollary 1.6.



The weighting is well ordered if $\max\{t_1, t_2\} < \min\{t_3, t_4\}$. Let X be a set of positive density in T_q . By Corollary 1.6, once t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 are sufficiently large, one can find $\{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\} \subseteq X$ such that (1) $d(o, v_0) = d(o, v_1) = d(o, v_2) = d(o, v_3) = d(o, v_4)$;

(1) $a(0, v_0) = a(0, v_1) = a(0, v_2) = a(0, v_3) = a(0, v_4),$ (2) $d(v_0, v_1) = 2t_1, d(v_0, v_2) = 2t_2, d(v_1, v_3) = 2t_3, d(v_1, v_4) = 2t_4,$ $d(v_0, v_3) = 2t_3, \text{ and } d(v_0, v_4) = 2t_4.$

The distances $d(v_1, v_2)$, $d(v_2, v_3)$, $d(v_2, v_4)$ and $d(v_3, v_4)$ are unknown.

2 Sets of positive density in trees of bounded degree

In this section let T_q^o denote the rooted tree with root o such that every vertex has precisely q children. Thus T_q^o is the homogeneous tree T_q with one branch pruned off at the root o. Let $T \subseteq T_q^o$ be a subtree containing o, and with no leaves. We will assume that the tree T has positive Hausdorff dimension (by this we mean that the fractal set $K = \partial T \subset [0, 1]$ obtained by q-adic expansion along the infinite geodesics based at o in T has positive Hausdorff dimension; see [2, Definition 1.2.1] for the definition).

Let $S_n(T) = \{v \in V(T) | d(o, v) = n\}$ be the sphere of radius n in T, centred at o. In this section we will adopt the following notion of density: We say that $X \subseteq T$ has positive lower density if

$$d_*(X) = \liminf_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{|X \cap S_n(T)|}{|S_n(T)|} > 0.$$

It is clear that if $d_*(X) > 0$ then $d^*(X) > 0$ too.

Theorem 1.3 raises the following natural question (we thank Itai Benjamini for asking us this question). For $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X \subseteq V$ let $X^t = \{x \in X \mid \text{there exists } y \in X \text{ with } d(x, y) = t\}$.

Question. Let $T \subseteq T_q^o$ be as above. Is it true that if T has positive Hausdorff dimension, and if $X \subseteq V(T)$ has positive lower density $d_*(X) > 0$, then there exists a subgroup H of \mathbb{Z} such that for sufficiently large $t \in H$ we have $X \cap X^t \neq \emptyset$? In other words, does there exist $k \ge 1$ and K > 0 such that if $t \ge K$ then $X \cap X^{kt} \neq \emptyset$?

Note that Theorem 1.3 gives an affirmative answer in the case that $T = T_q^o$. However we will show below (Proposition 2.2) that generally the answer to the above question is negative.

We first recall some background required for the construction of our counterexample. Let $K = \overline{\{n\alpha\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}}$ be a compact abelian group generated by α . The *Bohr subset* of the integers associated to an open subset $U \subset K$ is the subset $B = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n\alpha \in U\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$. If in addition the group K is assumed to be connected and the interior of $K \setminus U$ is non empty, then the set B is called a *non-periodic Bohr set*. Note that if B is a non-periodic Bohr set, and if $\overline{(U-U) + (U-U)} \neq K$, then $(B-B) + (B-B) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n\alpha \in (U-U) + (U-U)\}$ is also a non-periodic Bohr set.

An example of a non-periodic Bohr set is $A = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \{n\sqrt{2}\} < \epsilon\}$, where $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\epsilon < 1$ (note that here $\alpha = e^{2\pi i\sqrt{2}}$, and the group operation in K is multiplicative). Moreover, if $\epsilon < 1/4$ then (A - A) + (A - A) is also a non-periodic Bohr set.

For a subset $Z \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ we denote the *density* of Z by

$$d(Z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\{x \in Z \mid 1 \le x \le n\}|}{n}$$

provided the limit exists.

The following proposition is well known, however we include a proof for completeness.

Proposition 2.1. Let (K, α) be a connected Kronecker system (that is, K is a metrisable connected abelian group generated by $\alpha \in K$), let $U \subset K$ be an open Jordan measurable set (that is, $m_K(\overline{U} \setminus U) = 0$, where m_K is the Haar probability measure on K), and let $B = \tau^{-1}(U)$, where $\tau : \mathbb{Z} \to K$ is defined by $\tau(n) = n\alpha$. Then for every $k \ge 1$ and any $m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ we have

$$d(B \cap (m + k\mathbb{Z})) = \frac{d(B)}{k}.$$

Proof. Let $B = \tau^{-1}(U)$ and let $K' = K \times \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$. We observe that the element (α, g) , where $\alpha = \tau(1_{\mathbb{Z}})$ and g is a generator of the additive group $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, is a generator of the group K'. Indeed, any character $\chi_{K'}$ of K' has the form $\chi_{K'} = \chi_K \times \theta$, where χ_K and θ are characters of K and $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, correspondingly. If $\chi_{K'}(\alpha, g) = 1$ for a non-trivial character $\chi_{K'}$ of K', then $\chi_K^k(\alpha) = 1$. Using connectedness of K the character χ_K^k is a non-trivial character of K, provided that χ_K is non-trivial. By Theorem 4.14 in [8], we deduce that $\chi_K \equiv 1$. Then we have $\chi_{K'}(\alpha, g) = \theta(g) \neq 1$ by non-triviality of $\chi_{K'}$. By [8, Theorem 4.14] this implies unique ergodicity of the dynamical system $(K', (\alpha, g))$. Finally, we have

$$B \cap (m + k\mathbb{Z}) = \tau'^{-1}(U \times \{m\}),$$

where $\tau' : \mathbb{Z} \to K'$ is defined by $\tau'(n) = (n\alpha, n \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}})$. Since $U \subset K$ is Jordan measurable, $U \times \{m\}$ is Jordan measurable, and so the function $\mathbb{1}_{U \times \{m\}}$ is Riemann integrable. This implies that

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{U\times\{m\}}(n\alpha, n\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}}) \to \int_{K'} \mathbb{1}_{U\times\{m\}} dm_{K'} = m_K(U) \times \frac{1}{k}$$

On the other hand, the left hand side converges to $d(B \cap (m+k\mathbb{Z}))$. The same argument, using 1_U , shows that $m_K(U) = d(B)$, hence the result.

Proposition 2.2. There exists a tree $T \subset T_2^o$ of positive Hausdorff dimension, and a subset $X \subset V(T)$ of positive lower density $d_*(X) > 0$ such that for any $k, K \ge 1$ there exists $t \ge K$ such that $X \cap X^{kt} = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ be a non-periodic Bohr set of density d(A) with (A-A)+(A-A) is again a non-periodic Bohr set. As noted above, an explicit example is given by $A = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \{n\sqrt{2}\} < \epsilon\}$, where $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor \ge 0$ denotes the fractional part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\epsilon < 1/4$.

Let $T_A \subseteq T_2^o$ be the tree which has branching at each vertex of level n for all $n \in A$. The Hausdorff dimension of T_A is dim $(T_A) = d(A) > 0$ (see [2, Example 1.4.2]). Now choose

$$X = \bigcup_{n \in A \cap \mathbb{N}} S_n(T_A).$$

It is clear that $d_*(X) \ge d(A)$, and hence $d_*(X) > 0$. Moreover, if $v, v' \in X$ then $d(v, v') \in (A - A) + (A - A)$. Let B = (A - A) + (A - A). Notice, that B is defined by a Jordan measurable set (interval in \mathbb{T}). By Proposition 2.1 any non-periodic Bohr set $B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ defined by a Jordan measurable open set U satisfies the uniformity property along any infinite arithmetic progression: for every $k \ge 1$ and any $m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$ we have

$$d(B \cap (m+k\mathbb{Z})) = \frac{d(B)}{k} < \frac{1}{k}.$$

However if $\{d(x,y) \mid x, y \in X\}$ contains all large multiples of k then $d(B \cap k\mathbb{Z}) = 1/k$. Hence the result.

3 Sets of positive density in affine buildings

In this section we extend the results of Section 1 to affine buildings of certain types (note that the tree T_q is an affine building of type \tilde{A}_1). Sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 recall the required background from the theory of affine buildings, mainly following the setup from [12, 13] (see [1] for a comprehensive reference to building theory). In Section 3.4 we define sets of positive

density, and state our main theorem on sets of positive density in affine buildings with "uniform thickness parameter". Section 3.5 develops the theory of atoms required to give the proof of the main theorem in Section 3.6. Section 3.7 outlines the modifications required to remove the uniform thickness assumption with a weaker "regularity" assumption. The importance of affine buildings stems from their appearance in the theory of simple algebraic groups over nonarchimedean local fields, where they play an analogous role to the symmetric space for real algebraic groups (see [7]; however in dimensions 1 and 2 we note that not all affine buildings are associated to such a group). Thus we conclude in Section 3.8 with an application of our results to sets of positive density in simple algebraic groups over non-archimedean local fields.

3.1 Affine Coxeter groups and the Coxeter complex

Recall that a *Coxeter system* (W, S) is a group W generated by a finite set S with relations $(st)^{m_{st}} = 1$ for all $s, t \in S$, where $m_{ss} = 1$ for all $s \in S$, and $m_{st} = m_{ts} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \cup \{\infty\}$ for all $s \neq t$ (if $m_{st} = \infty$ then it is understood that there is no relation between s and t). The *length* of $w \in W$ is

$$\ell(w) = \min\{k \ge 0 \mid w = s_1 \cdots s_k \text{ with } s_1, \dots, s_k \in S\},\$$

and an expression $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$ with k minimal (that is, $k = \ell(w)$) is called a *reduced expression* for w. A Coxeter system (W, S) is *irreducible* if S cannot be partitioned into nonempty sets S_1 and S_2 with st = ts for all $s \in S_1$ and $t \in S_2$, spherical if $|W| < \infty$, and affine if there exists a normal abelian subgroup $Q \triangleleft W$ of finite index.

All irreducible affine Coxeter systems arise in the following concrete way. Let Φ be a reduced, irreducible, crystallographic, finite root system in an *n*-dimensional real inner product space E(see [5, Chapter VI]). The *dual root system* is $\Phi^{\vee} = \{\alpha^{\vee} \mid \alpha \in \Phi\}$, where $\alpha^{\vee} = 2\alpha/\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$. Let $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \subseteq \Phi$ be a choice of simple roots of Φ , and let $\Phi^+ \subseteq \Phi$ be the associated set of positive roots. The root system Φ has a unique *highest root* $\varphi = m_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + m_n\alpha_n$ (the *height* of a root $\alpha = a_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + a_n\alpha_n$ is $a_1 + \cdots + a_n$).

The Weyl group of Φ is the finite subgroup W_0 of GL(E) generated by the orthogonal reflections s_α in the hyperplanes $H_\alpha = \{x \in E \mid \langle x, \alpha \rangle = 0\}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$. Let $s_i = s_{\alpha_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and let $S_0 = \{s_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Then (W_0, S_0) is an irreducible spherical Coxeter system. Let $w_0 \in W_0$ be the longest element of W_0 (the unique element of maximal length).

For each $\alpha \in \Phi$ and each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $H_{\alpha,k} = \{x \in E \mid \langle x, \alpha \rangle = k\}$ (thus the affine hyperplane $H_{\alpha,k}$ is a translate of the linear hyperplane $H_{\alpha} = H_{\alpha,0}$). Let $s_{\alpha,k} \in \text{Aff}(E)$ be the affine orthogonal reflection in $H_{\alpha,k}$, given by $s_{\alpha,k}(x) = x - (\langle x, \alpha \rangle - k)\alpha^{\vee}$. The affine Weyl group of Φ is the subgroup of Aff(E) generated by the reflections $s_{\alpha,k}$ with $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Writing $s_0 = s_{\varphi,1}$ (with φ the highest root) and $S = S_0 \cup \{s_0\}$, the pair (W, S) is a Coxeter system. Moreover,

$$W = Q \rtimes W_0$$
 where $Q = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1^{\vee} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\alpha_n^{\vee} \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ is the coroot lattice,

where we identify $\lambda \in Q$ with the translation $t_{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Aff}(E)$ given by $t_{\lambda}(x) = x + \lambda$. Thus (W, S) is an affine Coxeter system, and all irreducible affine Coxeter systems arise in this way. In the standard Lie theory nomenclature Φ has a "type" X_n , where $X \in \{A, B, C, D, E, F, G\}$, and we say that (W, S) has "type" \tilde{X}_n , and that (W, S) has dimension n.

The fundamental coweights are the dual basis $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n \in E$ to $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$, given by $\langle \omega_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. The coweight lattice of Φ is

$$P = \{\lambda \in E \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi\} = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\omega_n.$$

Note that $Q \subseteq P$ (because $\langle \alpha, \beta^{\vee} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ by the crystallographic condition). Let

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \rho' = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha^{\vee}.$$

By [5, §VI.1.10] we have $\rho' = \omega_1 + \cdots + \omega_n$.

The set of *dominant* coweights and *strongly dominant* coweights are, respectively,

$$P^+ = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\omega_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\omega_n \quad \text{and} \quad P^{++} = \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\omega_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\omega_n = \rho' + P^+.$$

There is a natural partial order on P^+ given by $\mu \leq \lambda$ if and only if $\lambda - \mu \in P^+$. We write $\mu \ll \lambda$ if and only if $\lambda - \mu \in P^{++}$. Thus $\mu \ll \lambda$ if and only if $\lambda - \mu \geq \rho'$.

The family of hyperplanes $H_{\alpha,k}$, $\alpha \in \Phi$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, tessellates E into *n*-dimensional geometric simplices, called *chambers* (in the literature these are also called *alcoves*). The *fundamental chamber* is

$$\mathfrak{c}_0 = \{ x \in E \mid \langle x, \alpha_i \rangle \ge 0 \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n, \text{ and } \langle x, \varphi \rangle \le 1 \}.$$

The group W acts simply transitively on the set of chambers, and we often identify W with the set of chambers via $w \leftrightarrow w\mathfrak{c}_0$. The extreme points of the chambers are *vertices*, and each chamber has exactly n + 1 vertices. The resulting simplicial complex $\Sigma(W, S)$ is called the *Coxeter complex* of the affine Coxeter system.

Each vertex x of $\Sigma(W, S)$ can be assigned a $type \ \tau(x) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ as follows. The fundamental chamber \mathfrak{c}_0 has vertices x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n , where $x_0 = 0$ and $x_i = \omega_i/m_i$ for $1 \le i \le n$ (with ω_i and m_i as above), and we declare $\tau(x_i) = i$ for $0 \le i \le n$. This extends uniquely to all vertices of $\Sigma(W, S)$ by requiring that every chamber has precisely one vertex of each type. The type of a simplex σ is $\tau(\sigma) = \{\tau(x) \mid x \text{ is a vertex of } \sigma\}$, and the *cotype* of σ is $\{0, 1, \ldots, n\} \setminus \tau(\sigma)$. The action of W on $\Sigma(W, S)$ is type preserving. Both Q and P are subsets of the vertex set of $\Sigma(W, S)$. Specifically, Q is the set of all type 0 vertices, and P is the set of all vertices x with $\tau(x) \in \{0\} \cup \{1 \le i \le n \mid m_i = 1\}$. Equivalently, P is the set of vertices x of $\Sigma(W, S)$ whose stabiliser in W is isomorphic to W_0 .



Figure 5: The Coxeter complex of type \tilde{C}_2

The root system of type C_2 is

$$\Phi = \pm \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\},\$$

where $\alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2$ and $\alpha_2 = 2e_2$. We have $\alpha_1^{\vee} = \alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2^{\vee} = e_2$, and the dual root system is $\Phi^{\vee} = \pm \{\alpha_1^{\vee}, \alpha_2^{\vee}, \alpha_1^{\vee} + \alpha_2^{\vee}, \alpha_1^{\vee} + 2\alpha_2^{\vee}\}$. The fundamental coweights are $\omega_1 = e_1$ and $\omega_2 = \frac{1}{2}e_1 + \frac{1}{2}e_2$. The coroot lattice Q is the set of \bullet vertices, and the coweight lattice P is the union of the \bullet and \Box vertices. The fundamental chamber is darkly shaded, and the cone of dominant coweights is lightly shaded. The vectors ρ and ρ' are shown, and the points x, y are marked for later reference.

3.2 Affine buildings

Let (W, S) be an affine Coxeter system of dimension n, as constructed in the previous section. Let Δ be an affine building of type (W, S). Let us briefly expand on this (see [1]). Thus Δ is a very special kind of simplicial complex, whose maximal simplices are called *chambers*, and all chambers of Δ have dimension n. Moreover, Δ is equipped with a distinguished collection of sub-simplicial complexes, called *apartments*, satisfying three axioms:

- (B1) all apartments are isomorphic to the Coxeter complex $\Sigma(W, S)$;
- (B2) if c, d ∈ Δ are chambers of Δ, then there exists an apartment A containing both of them;
 (B3) if A, A' are apartments containing a common chamber, then there exists a unique simplicial complex isomorphism ψ : A → A' fixing every simplex of A ∩ A'.

Thus one may regard Δ as being made by "gluing together" many copies of $\Sigma(W, S)$. Axiom (B2) tells us that when determining the relative position between two simplices we can make the measurement in an apartment, and the content of Axiom (B3) is that the measurement we obtain is independent of the particular apartment chosen.

We say that Δ has dimension n, and rank n + 1. Let \mathcal{C} denote the set of all chambers of Δ . A panel is a codimension 1 simplex π of Δ . Chambers $c, d \in \mathcal{C}$ are called *adjacent* (written $c \sim d$) if $c \cap d$ is a panel (note that $c \not\sim c$ and so \sim is not an equivalence relation). Figure 6 illustrates the local picture for the case n = 2. Here each chamber has 3 vertices, and panels are edges. The 5 chambers shown all share a common panel, and hence are mutually adjacent. We say that Δ has uniform thickness parameter q if the cardinality

$$q = |\{c \in \mathcal{C} \mid c \sim d\}|$$

is finite, and does not depend on the chamber $c \in C$ (see Figure 6).

We will assume that Δ has uniform thickness parameter up to Section 3.6. This serves to streamline the amount of terminology and technical details required (for example, the nonreduced root systems of type BC_n). However in Section 3.7 we will outline how to remove the uniform thickness assumption, and replace it with a weaker "regularity" assumption.



Figure 6: The local picture of an affine building (n = 2, q = 4)

Example 3.1. The A_1 root system is $\Phi = \{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ in the 1-dimensional space $E = \mathbb{R}\alpha$. The Coxeter complex of the associated affine Coxeter system is a tessellation of \mathbb{R} by intervals. It is thus clear from the axioms above that \tilde{A}_1 buildings are simply trees in which every vertex has valency at least 2 (that is, there are no "leaves"). The chambers are the edges, and the panels are the vertices. In this case the building is easy to draw, however in higher dimension the "thickness" of the building is difficult to visualise, and so our pictures are typically of a piece of an apartment of Δ , with the branching left to the reader's imagination.

Fix, once and for all, an apartment A_0 of Δ , and an isomorphism $\psi_0 : A_0 \to \Sigma(W, S)$, and identify A_0 with $\Sigma(W, S)$ via ψ_0 . Thus we regard $\Sigma(W, S) \equiv A_0$ as an apartment of Δ (the *base apartment*). We write $o = \psi_0^{-1}(0)$ (the *root* of Δ). The type map τ on $\Sigma(W, S)$ extends uniquely to all vertices of Δ by requiring that every chamber has precisely one vertex of each type.

For each $0 \leq i \leq n$ we define an adjacency relation \sim_i on chambers of Δ by setting $c \sim_i d$ if and only if $c \cap d$ is a panel of cotype *i* (that is, *c* and *d* share all vertices except for their type *i* vertices). Then $c \sim d$ if and only if $c \sim_i d$ for some $0 \leq i \leq n$. The relative position $\delta(c, d) \in W$ between chambers c, d of Δ is defined by choosing a path

$$c = c_0 \sim_{i_1} c_1 \sim_{i_2} \cdots \sim_{i_k} c_k = d \tag{3.1}$$

of minimal length joining c to d, and setting $\delta(c, d) = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$. The building axioms ensure that the value of $\delta(c, d) \in W$ is independent of the particular choice of minimal path made in (3.1). The numerical distance dist(c, d) between c and d is the length of a minimal length gallery from c to d. Thus dist $(c, d) = \ell(\delta(c, d))$. A sequence of chambers as in (3.1) is called a gallery of type (i_1, \ldots, i_k) in the building theory vernacular. A basic fact is that a gallery of type (i_1, \ldots, i_k) joining c to d has minimal length amongst all galleries joining c to d if and only if $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$ is a reduced expression (that is, $\ell(s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}) = k$).

Let V denote the set of all vertices of Δ . Let $V_Q = \{x \in V \mid \tau(x) = 0\}$, and let

$$V_P = \{ x \in V \mid \tau(x) \in \tau(P) \}.$$

Then $V_Q \subseteq V_P$. The elements of V_P are called the *special vertices* of Δ . For all affine buildings other than those of type \tilde{A}_n the set V_P is a strict subset of V.

3.3 Vector distance and spheres

Henceforth we let (W, S) be an irreducible affine Coxeter system of dimension n, and let Δ be an affine building of type (W, S) with uniform thickness parameter $2 \le q < \infty$.

Let $x, y \in V_P$ be special vertices of Δ . The vector distance $d(x, y) \in P^+$ from x to y is defined as follows. Choose an apartment A containing x and y (using (B2)), and let $\psi : A \to \Sigma(W, S)$ be a type preserving simplicial complex isomorphism (using (B1)). Define

$$\boldsymbol{d}(x,y) = (\psi(y) - \psi(x))^+,$$

where for $\mu \in P$ we write μ^+ for the unique element in $W_0\mu \cap P^+$. This value is independent of the choice of apartment A and isomorphism ψ (using (B3); see [12, Proposition 5.6]). Somewhat more informally, to compute d(x, y) one looks at the vector from x to y (in any apartment containing x and y) and takes the dominant representative of this vector under the W_0 -action. For example, if $x, y \in V_P$ lie in an apartment as illustrated in Figure 5 then $d(x, y) = 2\omega_1 + 4\omega_2$.

We have ([12, Proposition 5.8])

$$\boldsymbol{d}(y,x) = \boldsymbol{d}(x,y)^* \text{ for all } x, y \in V_P,$$

where $\lambda^* = -w_0\lambda$ (with w_0 the longest element of W_0). We say that Δ is of (-1)-type if w_0 acts on E by -1. Thus Δ has (-1)-type if and only if $\mathbf{d}(y, x) = \mathbf{d}(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in V_P$. By direct examination of root systems, the irreducible (-1)-type affine buildings are those of types \tilde{A}_1 , \tilde{B}_n $(n \geq 2)$, \tilde{C}_n $(n \geq 2)$, \tilde{D}_n $(n \geq 4$ even), \tilde{E}_7 , \tilde{E}_8 , \tilde{F}_4 , and \tilde{G}_2 . In other words, the affine buildings that are not of (-1)-type are those of types \tilde{A}_n $(n \geq 2)$, \tilde{D}_n $(n \geq 4 \text{ odd})$, and \tilde{E}_6 .

For $\lambda \in P^+$ and $x \in V_P$ the sphere of radius λ and centre x is

$$S_{\lambda}(x) = \{ y \in V_P \mid \boldsymbol{d}(x, y) = \lambda \}.$$

We write $S_{\lambda} = S_{\lambda}(o)$. The cardinality $|S_{\lambda}(x)|$ does not depend on $x \in V_P$. In fact, by [13, Proposition 1.5] we have

$$|S_{\lambda}(x)| = \frac{W_0(q^{-1})}{W_{0\lambda}(q^{-1})} q^{\langle \lambda, 2\rho \rangle}, \qquad (3.2)$$

where $W_{0\lambda} = \{w \in W_0 \mid w\lambda = \lambda\}$ and for finite subsets $U \subseteq W$ we write $U(q^{-1}) = \sum_{u \in U} q^{-\ell(u)}$. Corollary 3.2. Suppose that $\mu \in P^{++}$ and that $\mu \leq \lambda$. Then

$$|S_{\lambda}| = |S_{\mu}|q^{\langle \lambda - \mu, 2\rho \rangle}.$$

Proof. If $\lambda, \mu \in P^{++}$ then $W_{0\lambda} = W_{0\mu} = \{1\}$, and the result follows from (3.2).

3.4 Sets of positive density

Recall that (W, S) is an irreducible affine Coxeter system of dimension n, and Δ is an affine building of type (W, S) with uniform thickness parameter $2 \leq q < \infty$.

The *(upper)* density of a subset $X \subseteq V_P$ is

$$d^*(X) = \limsup_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{|X \cap S_\lambda|}{|S_\lambda|} = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \left(\sup_{\mu \ge \lambda} \frac{|X \cap S_\mu|}{|S_\mu|} \right), \tag{3.3}$$

where the limit is taken with each $\langle \lambda, \alpha_j \rangle$ tending to ∞ . We note that (3.3) is well defined, because writing $a_{\lambda} = |X \cap S_{\lambda}|/|S_{\lambda}|$ and $b_{\lambda} = \sup\{a_{\mu} \mid \mu \geq \lambda\}$ we have that $b_{\mu} \geq b_{\lambda}$ whenever $\mu \leq \lambda$. Writing

$$\underline{\lambda} = \min\{\langle \lambda, \alpha_j \rangle \mid 1 \le j \le n\} \rho' \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\lambda} = \max\{\langle \lambda, \alpha_j \rangle \mid 1 \le j \le n\} \rho',$$

we have $\underline{\lambda} \leq \lambda \leq \overline{\lambda}$ and so $b_{\overline{\lambda}} \leq b_{\lambda} \leq b_{\underline{\lambda}}$ for all $\lambda \in P^+$. Since $\lim_{m \to \infty} b_{m\rho'} = L$ exists (by monotone convergence) we have $b_{\lambda} \to L$ whenever $\lambda \to \infty$ with each $\langle \lambda, \alpha_j \rangle \to \infty$. Moreover, as in the tree case, the property of having positive density is easily seen to be independent of the choice of root vertex o (however the numerical value of $d^*(X)$ depends on the choice of root).

Recall that we write $\mu \ll \lambda$ if and only if $\lambda - \mu \in P^{++}$. For each k > 0 let

$$\mathbb{M}^{k} = \{ (\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \dots, \lambda_{k}) \in P^{k} \mid 0 \ll \lambda_{1} \ll \lambda_{2} \ll \dots \ll \lambda_{k} \}$$

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i=1}^k \in \mathbb{M}^k$ and let $\mathbf{r} = (r_i)_{i=1}^k \in \mathbb{N}^k$. Then by a (k, λ, \mathbf{r}) -star we mean a set Y of special vertices of Δ with a distinguished vertex $v_0 \in Y$ (called the *centre* of Y) such that

- (1) if $v \in Y \setminus \{v_0\}$ then $d(v_0, v) \in \{2\lambda_1, \dots, 2\lambda_k\},\$
- (2) for each $1 \leq i \leq k$ we have $|\{v \in Y \mid \boldsymbol{d}(v_0, v) = 2\lambda_i\}| = r_i$,
- (3) for all $x, y \in Y$ we have d(o, x) = d(o, y) (that is, $Y \subseteq S_{\mu}$ for some $\mu \in P^+$).

The main theorem of this section is the following analogue of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that Δ has (-1)-type. Let $X \subseteq V_P$ with $d^*(X) > 0$. For each k > 0 and each $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ there exists a constant $K = K(X, k, \mathbf{r}) > 0$ such that X contains a (k, λ, \mathbf{r}) -star for all sequences $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i=1}^k \in \mathbb{M}^k$ with $\lambda_1 \geq K\rho'$.

For example, in the simplest case when k = 1, Theorem 3.3 immediately gives the following analogue of Proposition 1.

Corollary 3.4. Suppose that Δ has (-1)-type. Let $X \subseteq V_P$ with $d^*(X) > 0$. There exists a constant K = K(X) such that for all $\lambda \in P^+$ with $\lambda \geq K\rho'$ there exist vertices $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) = 2\lambda$ and d(o, x) = d(o, y).

Before proving Theorem 3.3 we define projection maps and atoms in affine buildings (Section 3.5), and prove a series of preliminary results (Section 3.6).

Projections and atoms 3.5

By [13, Corollary B.3], if $\nu, \mu \in P^+$ with $\mu \leq \nu$, and if $y \in S_{\nu}$, then there is a unique vertex $x \in S_{\mu}$ such that $d(x,y) = \nu - \mu$. This allows us to define projection maps: If $\mu \leq \nu$ let

 $\pi_{\nu,\mu}: S_{\nu} \to S_{\mu}$, where $\pi_{\nu,\mu}(y)$ is the unique vertex $x \in S_{\mu}$ with $d(x,y) = \nu - \mu$.

Now, if $x \in S_{\mu}$ and $\lambda \in P^+$ then the set of " λ -children" (or λ -descendants) of x is the set $C(x, \lambda)$ of those $y \in S_{\mu+\lambda}$ that project back to x. That is,

$$C(x,\lambda) = \{ y \in S_{\lambda+\mu} \mid \pi_{\lambda+\mu,\mu}(y) = x \} = \{ y \in V_P \mid d(x,y) = \lambda \text{ and } d(o,y) = \mu + \lambda \}.$$

We write $C(x) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in P^+} C(x, \lambda)$ for the set of all descendants of x. In the case that $d(o, x) = \mu \in P^{++}$ and $\lambda \in P^{++}$ we decompose $C(x, \lambda)$ further, as follows. First we note that if $z, z' \in V_P$ are any special vertices with $d(z, z') \in P^{++}$ then there is a unique chamber c(z, z') such that every gallery $z \in c_0 \sim c_1 \sim \cdots \sim c_k \ni z'$ of minimal length subject to $z \in c_0$ and $z' \in c_k$ starts with $c_0 = c(z, z')$, as illustrated in Figure 7.



Figure 7: The chamber c(z, z')

Let $c_x = c(x, o)$, and let O(x) denote the set of all chambers c of Δ with $x \in c$ such that $dist(c_x, c) = \ell(w_0)$. These are the chambers "opposite" c_x in the "residue" of x. More precisely, the residue of x is defined to be the set of all chambers $c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $x \in c$. This residue inherits the structure of a spherical building with Coxeter group W_0 , and chambers c, c' of this building are opposite if $\delta(c, c') = w_0$ (equivalently, dist $(c, c') = \ell(w_0)$). We have $|O(x)| = q^{\ell(w_0)}$. Then, for each $c \in O(x)$ define

$$C(x,c,\lambda) = \{ y \in C(x,\lambda) \mid c(x,y) = c \}.$$
(3.4)

This situation is illustrated in Figure 8 for \tilde{C}_2 buildings, where $d(o, x) = \mu = \omega_1 + 2\omega_2$ and $\lambda = 2\omega_1 + 2\omega_2$ (c.f. Figure 5, and note the convention, like in the tree, of drawing the building falling "downwards" from o). The grey shaded region is determined by o and x. There are then q^4 choices for the chamber $c \in O(x)$ in the position shown. Then, for each such c, the set $C(x, c, \lambda)$ contains q^{10} vertices (to make this count, choose a minimal length path from c to position y, and at each step there is thickness q; see also Lemma 3.5(2) below). In the 1-dimensional case of trees (that is, $\Phi = A_1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$), the shaded region is just the geodesic [o, x] joining vertices o and x, and the chambers c are just the q edges incident with x and not contained in [o, x]. Thus $C(x, c, \lambda) = C(y, \lambda - 1)$ in the tree case, where the edge c has vertices x, y (see (1.1)).



Figure 8: Decomposing into atoms

Lemma 3.5. Let $\lambda, \mu \in P^{++}$, and write $\nu = \lambda + \mu$. The members of

 $\mathcal{A}_{\nu,\lambda} = \{ C(x, c, \lambda) \mid x \in S_{\mu} \text{ and } c \in O(x) \}$

form a partition of the sphere S_{ν} . Moreover we have

- (1) $|\mathcal{A}_{\nu,\lambda}| = |S_{\mu}|q^{\ell(w_0)}, and$
- (2) $|C(x,c,\lambda)| = q^{\langle \lambda, 2\rho \rangle \ell(w_0)}$, independent of $\mu \in P^{++}$, $x \in S_{\mu}$, and $c \in O(x)$.

Proof. If $y \in S_{\nu}$ and $x \in S_{\mu}$ then $y \in C(x, \lambda)$ if and only if $x = \pi_{\nu,\mu}(y)$. Thus we have a disjoint union $S_{\nu} = \bigcup_{x \in S_{\mu}} C(x, \lambda)$. Moreover, if $y \in C(x, \lambda)$ with $x \in S_{\mu}$ then $c(x, y) \in O(x)$ (to see this, note that since $x = \pi_{\nu,\mu}(y)$ the three vertices o, x, y lie in a common apartment, and hence are configured as in Figure 8, and in this figure c(x, y) = c). Then $y \in C(x, c, \lambda)$ if and only if c(x, y) = c, giving the disjoint union $C(x, \lambda) = \bigcup_{c \in O(x)} C(x, c, \lambda)$.

Since $|O(x)| = q^{\ell(w_0)}$ for all $x \in S_{\mu}$, it is then clear that $|\mathcal{A}_{\nu,\lambda}| = |S_{\mu}|q^{\ell(w_0)}$, and hence (1). To prove (2) we note that if $y \in C(x, c, \lambda)$ then $\operatorname{dist}(c_x, c_y)$ is equal to the number of hyperplanes of $\Sigma(W, S)$ separating the chambers \mathfrak{c}_0 and $t_{\lambda}\mathfrak{c}_0$. There are $|\Phi^+|$ parallelism classes of hyperplanes, and for $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ the number of hyperplanes parallel to H_{α} separating \mathfrak{c}_0 and $t_{\lambda}\mathfrak{c}_0$ is $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle$. Thus

dist
$$(c_x, c_y) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = \langle \lambda, 2\rho \rangle,$$

where we use the fact that $2\rho = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$ (see [5, §VI.1.10]). Thus $\operatorname{dist}(c, c_y) = \operatorname{dist}(c_x, c_y) - \operatorname{dist}(c_x, c) = \langle \lambda, 2\rho \rangle - \ell(w_0)$, and hence the result (see Figure 8 for illustration).

Let $\lambda, \mu \in P^{++}$ and write $\nu = \lambda + \mu$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\nu,\lambda}$ denote the σ -algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}_{\nu,\lambda}$. The members of the set $\mathcal{A}_{\nu,\lambda}$ are called the *atoms* of $\mathcal{F}_{\nu,\lambda}$.

3.6 Proof of Theorem 3.3

Lemma 3.6. Let $z \in V_P$, and suppose that $x_1, x_2 \in V_P$ satisfy (for j = 1, 2): (1) $d(z, x_j) \in P^{++}$, (2) $dist(c_1, c_2) = \ell(w_0)$ where $c_j = c(z, x_j)$. Then $d(x_1, x_2) = d(x_1, z) + d(z, x_2)$.

Proof. We will only sketch the proof. For j = 1, 2, the convex hull $\operatorname{conv}\{z, x_j\}$ is intersection of all apartments containing both z and x_j . Equivalently, since $d(z, x_j) \in P^{++}$, the convex hull $\operatorname{conv}\{z, x_j\}$ is the union of all chambers of Δ lying on a minimal length gallery from $c_j = c(z, x_j)$ to $c'_j = c(x_j, z)$. These convex hulls are shaded in Figure 9.



Figure 9: Illustration for Lemma 3.6

Since dist $(c_1, c_2) = \ell(w_0)$ the affine geometry of the Coxeter complex (c.f. [1, §11.5]) implies that if γ_1 is a minimal length gallery joining c'_1 to c_1 , and γ_2 is a minimal length gallery joining c_1 to c_2 , and γ_3 is a minimal length gallery joining c_2 to c'_2 , then the concatenation $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_3$ is a minimal length gallery joining c'_1 to c'_2 (see Figure 9). It follows that all chambers shown in Figure 9 lie in the convex hull of x_1 and x_2 , and hence they lie in a common apartment. It is then clear that $d(x_1, x_2) = d(x_1, z) + d(z, x_2)$.

For $z \in V_P$, let $\Delta(z)$ denote the set of all chambers c of Δ with $z \in c$. Note that the maximum numerical distance between chambers $c, c' \in \Delta(z)$ is $\ell(w_0)$ (see Figure 9).

Lemma 3.7. Let $x \in V_P$. Suppose that $c_1, c_2 \in \Delta(x)$ with $dist(c_1, c_2) = \ell(w_0)$. Then

$$|\{c \in \Delta(x) \mid \operatorname{dist}(c_1, c) = \operatorname{dist}(c_2, c) = \ell(w_0)\}| \ge \kappa q^{\ell(w_0)}, \quad where \ \kappa = (1 - q^{-1})^{\ell(w_0)}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\tau(x) = 0$. Then the fact that $\operatorname{dist}(c_1, c_2) = \ell(w_0)$ implies that $\delta(c_1, c_2) = w_0$ is the unique longest element of W_0 . In fact $\Delta(x)$ is a spherical building of type (W_0, S_0) , and thus the following property holds (see [1, §5.3 and §5.7]): If $c, c' \in \Delta(x)$ with $\operatorname{dist}(c, c') = \ell(w_0)$, then for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ there is a unique chamber $p_i(c, c') \in \Delta(x)$ with $c \sim_i p_i(c, c')$ and $\operatorname{dist}(c', p_i(c, c')) = \ell(w_0) - 1$. For all other chambers $d \in \Delta(x)$ with $c \sim_i d$ we have $\operatorname{dist}(c', d) = \ell(w_0)$.

Let $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$ be a reduced expression. Using the above property, there are q-1 chambers $d_1 \in \Delta(x)$ with $d_1 \sim_{i_1} c_1$ and $d_1 \neq p_{i_1}(c_1, c_2)$, and all of these chambers satisfy $\operatorname{dist}(c_2, d_1) = \ell(w_0)$. For each of these chambers d_1 there are q-1 chambers d_2 with $d_2 \sim_{i_2} d_1$ and $d_2 \neq p_{i_2}(d_1, c_2)$, and all of these chambers satisfy $\operatorname{dist}(c_2, d_2) = \ell(w_0)$. Continuing in this way we construct $(q-1)^{\ell(w_0)}$ distinct galleries

$$c_1 \sim_{i_1} d_1 \sim_{i_2} d_2 \sim_{i_3} \cdots \sim_{i_N} d_N$$

with $\operatorname{dist}(c_2, d_j) = \ell(w_0)$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$. The end chambers d_N of these galleries are all distinct (this follows, for example, from [12, Proposition 2.1]), and moreover $\operatorname{dist}(c_1, d_N) = \ell(w_0)$ by construction. Hence the result.

We now provide analogues of Lemmas 1.4 and 1.5. Let $\kappa = (1 - q^{-1})^{\ell(w_0)}$, as in Lemma 3.7.

Lemma 3.8. Suppose that Δ has (-1)-type. Let $X \subseteq V_P$, k > 0, $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^k$, and $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{M}^k$. Let $r = \max\{r_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. If X contains no $(k, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{r})$ -star then for each $v \in S_{\nu-\lambda}$ with $\nu \geq \lambda \gg \lambda_k$ the proportion of the atoms of $\mathcal{F}_{\nu,\lambda_1}$ contained in $C(v, \lambda)$ with the property that they intersect X in at least r vertices is at most $1 - \kappa$.

Proof. We introduce the following terminology for the proof. A vertex $x \in S_{\nu-\eta}$ (with $0 \leq \eta \ll \nu$) is said to have "type A" if there are distinct chambers $c_0, c_1 \ldots, c_\ell \in O(x)$, where $\ell = (1-\kappa)q^{\ell(w_0)}$, with the property that $X \cap C(x, c_j, \eta)$ contains at least r elements for $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$, and is said to have "type B" otherwise. The key observation is that if x has type A then there exist distinct vertices $v_0, v_1^1, \ldots, v_1^r \in S_\nu$ with $d(v_0, v_1^j) = 2\eta$ for $j = 1, \ldots, r$. To see this, choose $v_0 \in X \cap C(x, c_0, \eta)$. By Lemma 3.7 there is at least one index $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ such that $\delta(c_0, c_j) = w_0$. Then by Lemma 3.6, using the fact that Δ has (-1)-type, we have $d(v_0, z) = d(v_0, x) + d(x, z) = \eta^* + \eta = 2\eta$ for all $z \in C(x, c_j, \eta)$, and so any choice of $v_1^1, \ldots, v_1^r \in X \cap C(x, c_j, \eta)$ will work.

Let $v \in S_{\nu-\lambda}$. Let \mathcal{X} be the set of all sequences $(x_k, x_{k-1}, \ldots, x_1)$ such that $x_k \in S_{\nu-\lambda_k} \cap C(v)$ and $x_{k-j} \in C(x_{k-j+1}) \cap S_{\nu-\lambda_{k-j}}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$. Suppose that there exists a sequence $(x_k, x_{k-1}, \ldots, x_1) \in \mathcal{X}$ such that each x_j has type A. Thus, as noted above, there are chambers $c_1, c'_1 \in O(x_1)$, and vertices $v_0 \in X \cap C(x_1, c_1, \lambda_1)$ and $v_1^1, \ldots, v_1^r \in X \cap C(x_1, c'_1, \lambda_1)$ with $d(v_0, v_1^i) = 2\lambda_1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

For each j = 2, ..., k let $c_j \in O(x_j)$ be the chamber $c_j = c(x_1, x_j)$. Since x_j has type A, the argument above shows that there is a chamber $c'_j \neq c_j$ in $O(x_j)$ and distinct vertices $v_j^1, ..., v_j^r \in X \cap C(x_j, c'_j, \lambda_j)$ with $d(v_0, v_j^i) = 2\lambda_j$ for each $1 \le i \le r$ and $1 \le j \le k$, and so $\{v_0\} \cup \{v_j^i \mid 1 \le i \le r, 1 \le j \le k\}$ forms a $(k, \lambda, r\mathbf{1})$ -star, where $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ is the vector with every entry equal to 1. In particular X contains a (k, λ, r) -star, a contradiction.

Thus every $(x_k, x_{k-1}, \ldots, x_1) \in \mathcal{X}$ contains at least one vertex of type B. Note that if x_j has type B then the proportion of $\mathcal{F}_{\nu,\lambda_1}$ atoms of $C(x_j,\lambda_j)$ intersecting X in at least r vertices is at most $1 - \kappa$. Thus we can partition the set of $\mathcal{F}_{\nu,\lambda_1}$ atoms in $C(v,\lambda)$ in such a way that in each part of the partition the proportion of atoms with the property that they intersect X in at least r vertices is at most $1 - \kappa$. Thus the proportion of all $\mathcal{F}_{\nu,\lambda_1}$ atoms of $C(v,\lambda)$ with this property is at most $1 - \kappa$, and hence the result.

Lemma 3.9. Suppose that Δ has (-1)-type. Let $X \subseteq V_P$, k > 0, and $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^k$. For $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ let $\lambda_j = (\lambda_{i,j})_{i=1}^k \in \mathbb{M}^k$, and suppose that $\lambda_{k,j} \ll \lambda_{1,j+1}$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, \ell - 1$. If X contains no $(k, \lambda_j, \mathbf{r})$ -stars for each $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ then for all $\nu \gg \lambda_{k,\ell}$ we have

$$\frac{|X \cap S_{\nu}|}{|S_{\nu}|} < (1-\kappa)^{\ell} + rq^{\ell(w_0) - \langle \lambda_{1,1}, 2\rho \rangle},$$

where $r = \max\{r_i \mid 1 \le i \le k\}$.

Proof. Lemma 3.8 (applied to the case v = o) implies that the proportion of $\mathcal{F}_{\nu,\lambda_{1,\ell}}$ atoms intersecting X in at least r vertices is at most $1 - \kappa$. Let X_{ℓ} be such an atom, and let x_{ℓ} be the projection of this atom onto $S_{\nu-\lambda_{1,\ell}}$ (that is, $X_{\ell} = S_{\nu} \cap C(x_{\ell})$). Lemma 3.8, this time applied to the case $v = x_{\ell}$, implies that the proportion of the $\mathcal{F}_{\nu,\lambda_{1,\ell-1}}$ atoms contained in X_{ℓ} with the property that they intersect X in at least r vertices is at most $1 - \kappa$. Hence the proportion of all $\mathcal{F}_{\nu,\lambda_{1,\ell-1}}$ atoms with the property that they intersect X in at least r vertices is at most $(1-\kappa)^2$. Iterating this process shows that the proportion of all $\mathcal{F}_{\nu,\lambda_{1,1}}$ atoms with the property that they intersect X in at least r vertices is at most $(1-\kappa)^{\ell}$. Each atom in the remaining $1 - (1-\kappa)^{\ell}$ proportion of atoms contains at most r - 1 elements of X. Since the total number of $\mathcal{F}_{\nu,\lambda_{1,1}}$ atoms is $|S_{\nu-\lambda_{1,1}}|q^{\ell(w_0)} = q^{\ell(w_0)-\langle\lambda_{1,1},2\rho\rangle}|S_{\nu}|$ (see Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.5) we have

$$\frac{|X \cap S_{\nu}|}{|S_{\nu}|} \le (1-\kappa)^{\ell} + (1-(1-\kappa)^{\ell})q^{\ell(w_0) - \langle \lambda_{1,1}, 2\rho \rangle}(r-1) < (1-\kappa)^{\ell} + rq^{\ell(w_0) - \langle \lambda_{1,1}, 2\rho \rangle},$$

hence the result.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. The proof follows from Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9 in exactly the same fashion as the proof of Theorem 1.3. \Box

Remark 3.10. We have not been able to push our techniques through to the case of non-(-1)-type affine buildings (note that $\lambda^* = \lambda$ is used in an essential way in Lemma 3.8). Indeed Theorem 3.3 does not hold in its current form for non-(-1)-type buildings. For example, in a thick \tilde{A}_n building the set $X = V_Q$ has $d^*(X) = \frac{1}{n+1} > 0$, and we have $d(x, y) \in Q \cap P^+$ for all $x, y \in X = V_Q$. Thus if $\lambda = \omega_1 + N\rho'$ then $2\lambda \notin Q$ (assuming that $n \geq 2$). Thus there are arbitrarily large $\lambda \in P^+$ such that $2\lambda \notin \{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in X\}$.

In light of Remark 3.10, we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.11. Let Δ be an irreducible affine building with uniform thickness $2 \leq q < \infty$. Let $X \subseteq V_P$ with $d^*(X) > 0$. For each k > 0 there exists K = K(X,k) > 0 such that whenever $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \in Q \cap P^+$ with $\lambda_k \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq K\rho'$ there exists a subset $\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \subseteq X$ with $\mathbf{d}(v_0, v_j) = \lambda_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $\mathbf{d}(o, v_0) = \mathbf{d}(o, v_1) = \cdots = \mathbf{d}(o, v_k)$.

Remark 3.12. In the definition of \mathbb{M}^k in Section 3.4 we used \ll . Less restrictively one could instead use < in this definition. Theorem 3.3 still holds using this less restrictive definition, with a very similar proof. However some technical changes are required in Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9, for if $z, z' \in V_P$ with $d(z, z') \in P^+ \setminus P^{++}$ then the chamber c(z, z') from Figure 7 is no longer unique. Instead one must argue using the (unique) "projection" of z' onto the "residue" of z, which in general is a lower dimensional simplex. While this makes the arguments more technical, the essential details are the same.

3.7 Extension to buildings of non-uniform thickness

We have assumed, for simplicity and readability of the paper, that our buildings (and trees) have uniform thickness parameter q. Our main results apply more generally to the case of "regular" affine buildings, with only minor modifications required in the proofs. These buildings arise naturally from simple algebraic groups over non-archimedean local fields. We briefly sketch these modifications in this subsection.

Recall that uniform thickness parameter q means that every panel of the building is contained in precisely q + 1 chambers. More generally, an affine building Δ is called *regular* if, for each $0 \le i \le n$, there exists an integer $q_i \ge 1$ such that every panel of cotype i is contained in precisely $q_i + 1$ chambers. In other words, for each $0 \le i \le n$ the cardinality

$$q_i = |\{d \in \mathcal{C} \mid d \sim_i c\}|$$

is finite, and does not depend on the chamber $c \in C$. The numbers $(q_i)_{i=0}^n$ are called the *thickness* parameters of the building.

In fact, every locally finite (meaning that each panel is contained in only finitely many chambers) thick irreducible affine building Δ of dimension at least 2 is regular (see, for example, [12, Theorem 2.4]). If n = 1 then Δ is a tree (a building of type \tilde{A}_1), and in this case Δ is regular if and only if this tree is "bi-regular" (where the valencies $q_0 + 1$ and $q_1 + 1$ alternate according to the bipartite structure of the tree). Thus regularity is a very minor assumption. Moreover, we note that the possible thickness parameters of an affine building are considerably more restricted than it may first appear. Indeed, if s_i and s_j are conjugate in W then necessarily $q_i = q_j$ (see [12, Corollary 2.2]).

Treating all regular affine buildings in a uniform way requires the introduction of more technical language, including the use of the non-reduced root systems of type BC_n (see, for

example, [12]). We will avoid this language here, and content ourselves with making some seemingly ad hoc modifications. To begin with, define L = P (the coweight lattice) in all cases except the following:

(1) Δ is of type A_1 with $q_0 \neq q_1$;

(2) Δ is of type C_n with $q_0 \neq q_n$.

In these excluded cases, set L = Q (the coroot lattice). Let $L^+ = L \cap P^+$ and $L^{++} = L \cap P^{++}$. The set of good vertices of Δ is V_L (thus $V_L = V_P$ except in the two cases above where $V_L = V_Q$).

To deal with the case of regular buildings, we replace all occurrences of P, P^+ , P^{++} , and V_P with L, L^+ , L^{++} , and V_L , respectively, in Sections 3.3–3.6. With these changes, Theorem 3.3 holds for the general case of thick regular irreducible affine buildings of (-1)-type. The modifications required in the proof are as follows.

Let $q_w = q_{i_1} \cdots q_{i_k}$ whenever $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$ is reduced (the geometry of the building forces this to be independent of the particular reduced expression chosen; see [12, Proposition 2.1]). In the case of uniform thickness, we have $q_w = q^{\ell(w)}$. In the general case, the formula for $|S_\lambda(x)|$ (for $x \in V_L$ and $\lambda \in L^+$) from (3.2) now reads

$$|S_{\lambda}(x)| = \frac{W_0(q^{-1})}{W_{0\lambda}(q^{-1})} q_{t'_{\lambda}},$$

where $t'_{\lambda} \in W$ is the unique element of W such that $t'_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{c}_0) = t_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{c}_0)$ (recall that W acts simply transitively on the chambers of the Coxeter complex, and also that the translation t_{λ} is only an element of W when $\lambda \in Q$). Moreover, in the above formula we write $U(q^{-1}) = \sum_{u \in U} q_u^{-1}$ for finite subsets $U \subseteq W$.

Then, in Lemma 3.5 one has $|\mathcal{A}_{\nu,\lambda}| = |S_{\mu}|q_{w_0}$ and $|C(x,c,\lambda)| = q_{t'_{\lambda}}q_{w_0}^{-1}$. Lemma 3.6 and its proof remain correct with no modification (other than replacing V_P by V_L). Lemma 3.7 is modified as follows. Let $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$ be a reduced expression for w_0 . Then the right hand side of the inequality in Lemma 3.7 is replaced with $\kappa' q_{w_0}$, where $\kappa' = (1 - q_{i_1}^{-1}) \cdots (1 - q_{i_N}^{-1})$. Then Lemma 3.8 holds with κ replaced by κ' . Finally, in Lemma 3.9, in the right hand side of the inequality we replace $q^{\ell(w_0) - \langle \lambda_{1,1}, 2\rho \rangle}$ with $q_{w_0} q_{t'_{\lambda_{1,1}}}^{-1}$. The proof of Theorem 3.3 then follows as before. We also expect that Conjecture 3.11 holds for the general regular case.

3.8 Application to simple algebraic groups over non-archimedean local fields

We conclude with an application to sets of positive density in simple algebraic groups over nonarchimedean local fields. Let \mathbb{F} be a non-archimedean local field with valuation ring \mathfrak{o} and let Gbe a simple algebraic group with relative root system Φ . Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G. There is an affine building $\Delta(G, K)$ associated to (G, K) whose set of type 0 vertices is $G/K = V_Q \subseteq V_P$ (see [7]). This building is thick and regular.

There are elements $\varpi_{\lambda} \in G$ such that

$$G = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in Q \cap P^+} K \varpi_\lambda K$$

(roughly speaking, ϖ_{λ} is a diagonal matrix whose entries are powers of the uniformiser π). Moreover, the vector distance between vertices gK and hK is $d(gK,hK) = \lambda$ if and only if $g^{-1}h \in K \varpi_{\lambda} K$. For $g \in G$ let $\lambda(g) = d(K,gK)$.

We define upper density $d^*(X)$ of a subset $X \subseteq G/K$ as in (3.3).

Corollary 3.13. Let (G, K) be as above, and suppose that $\Delta(G, K)$ has (-1)-type. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $X \subseteq G/K$ with $d^*(X) > 0$. There exists a constant N > 0 such that for all g_1, \ldots, g_r with $\lambda(g_i) \in 2P$ and $N\rho' \ll \lambda(g_1) \ll \cdots \ll \lambda(g_r)$ we have

$$X \cap Xg_1K \cap \cdots \cap Xg_rK \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Note that $X \cap Xg_1K \cap \cdots \cap Xg_rK \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exit $h_0K, \ldots, h_rK \in X$ with $h_0K \subseteq h_jKg_jK$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$. Thus $h_j^{-1}h_0 \in Kg_jK = K\varpi_{\lambda(g_j)}K$, and so $d(h_jK, h_0K) = \lambda(g_j)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$. Since $\Delta(G, K)$ is of (-1)-type we have $d(h_0K, h_jK) = \lambda(g_j)$, and so $X \cap Xg_1K \cap \cdots \cap Xg_rK \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exist vertices $v_j = h_jK \in X$ with $d(v_0, v_j) = \lambda(g_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. The result follows from Theorem 3.3 (c.f. Section 3.7).

References

- P. Abramenko K. Brown, Buildings: Theory and Applications, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 248, Springer, New York, (2008).
- [2] C. J. Bishop Y. Peres, Fractal sets in Probability and Analysis, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 162, Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- M. Björklund A. Fish, Characteristic polynomial patterns in difference sets of matrices, Bull. London Math. Soc. 48, 300–308, 2016.
- [4] M. Björklund K. Bulinski, Twisted patterns in large subsets of Zⁿ, Comment. Math. Helv.
 92, 621–640, 2017.
- [5] N. Bourbaki, Lie groups and Lie algebras, Chapters 4-6, Elements of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [6] J. Bourgain, A Szemerédi type theorem for sets of positive density in ℝ^k, Isr. J. of Math.
 54, 307–316, 1986.
- [7] F. Bruhat J. Tits, Groupes réductifs sur un corps local, Publications mathématiques de l'IHES, 41, 5–251, 1972.
- [8] M. Einsiedler T. Ward, *Ergodic theory with a view towards number theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 259. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011.
- [9] H. Furstenberg, Y. Katznelson B. Weiss, Ergodic theory in sets of positive density. In: J. Nešetřil, V. Rödl (eds) Mathematics of Ramsey Theory. Algorithms and Combinatorics, vol. 5, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1989.
- [10] A. Magyar, On distance sets of large sets of integer points, Isr. J. of Math. 164, 251–263, 2008.
- [11] Å. Magyar, k-point configurations in sets of positive density of \mathbb{Z}^n , Duke Math. J. 146, 615–625, 2009.
- [12] J. Parkinson, Buildings and Hecke algebras, J. Algebra, 297, 1–49, 2006.
- [13] J. Parkinson, Spherical harmonic analysis on affine buildings, Math. Z. 253, 571–606, 2006.